## EXHIBIT "D"

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY ADV. PRO. NO. 23-1335 JKS

In Re:

National Realty Investment :

Advisors, LLC, et al.,

Debtors.

AIRN Liquidation Trust Co., :

LLC, in capacity as

Liquidation Trustee of the :

AIRN Liquidation Trust,

Plaintiff,

 $\nabla$ 

Media Effective, LLC, et

al.,

Defendants.

- - -

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION BY ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE OF

WILLIAM WALDIE

Friday, March 29, 2024

- - -

REPORTED BY: JENNIFER MAUTE, CCR

- - -

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES 866-624-6221 www.Magnals.com



Page 2	Daga 2
	Page 3
1 Transcript of the Videotaped 1 APPEARANCES:	
2 Videoconference Deposition of WILLIAM WALDIE, 3 called for Oral Examination in the above-captioned 3 BY: ANECA LASLEY, ESOUIRE	
1	
1 matter, said deposition taken pursuant to Superior 4 250 West Street, Suite 700	
5 Court Rules of Practice and Procedure by and before Columbus, Ohio 43215 6 JENNIFER MAUTE, Certified Court Reporter and Notary 5 Aneca.lasley@icemiller.com	
7 Public for the State of New Jersey, commoning at Counsel for the Plaintiff	
8 10:02 a.m.	
OBERMAYER, REBMANN, MAX	WELL & HIPPEL, LLP
8 BY: EDMOND M. GEORGE, ESQ	
11 MICHAEL VAGNONI, ESQUIR 11 9 WILLIAM SALDUTTI, ESQUIR	
1120 Route 73, Suite 420	
10 Mount Laurel, New Jersey 08054 Edmond.george@obermayer.com	
11 Counsel for the Defendants, Javier T	
Media Effective, LLC, Dora Dillma 15 12 Torres, Jr., Natalia Torres, Paulina T	
16	
17 ALSO PRESENT: John Vitali, Vid	eographer
18	
19	
20   17   18	
21 19	
22	
23	
24	
25 25	
Page 4	Page 5
1 Exhibits (Continued):	
2 INDEX 2 NUMBER DESCRIPTI	
3 3 Exhibit-13 E-mail 4 Testimony of: WILLIAM WALDIE 4 Exhibit-14 E-mail	90 *
4 Testimony of: WILLIAM WALDIE 5 By Mr. George PAGE 9 4 Exhibit-14 E-mail 5 Exhibit-15 Tanvi Invoices	
6 Exhibit-16 Revised Denos	
7 Notice	
8 EXHIBITS 7	
10 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 8 ** (Exhibit-5, Exhibits-7-8, E	
11 Exhibit-1 Declaration 65 Exhibit-14 were not introduce	d.) **
12 Exhibit-2 E-mail 68	
13 Exhibit-3 E-mail 70	
14 Exhibit-4 E-mail 70 15 Exhibit-5 Bill Waldie Profile * 12	
16 Exhibit-6 Media Effective Summary 102	
Activity by Year 14	
17	
Exhibit-7 Javier Torres Summary *	
18 Activity by Year 19 Exhibit-8 Javier Torres and Media *	
Effective Transfers	
To Investment Accounts	
21 Exhibit-9 NRIA Daily Cash Balance 71  Language 1 2016 to 21	
January 1, 2010 to	
22 June 30, 2022 23 Exhibit-10 William Waldie Resume *	
23 Exhibit-10 William Waldie Resume * 24  24 Exhibit-11 Weekly Radio Campaign * 24	
25 Exhibit-12 Weekly Radio Campaign * 25	



3 3 This begins the Videot	RAPHER: We are now on record.
DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX  2 THE VIDEOGI 3 This begins the Videot	
3 3 This begins the Videot	
	tape Number 1 in the
	B. Waldie, in the matter of
	ust Company, LLC, et al. versus
6 Page Line Page Line Page Line 6 Media Effective, LLC.	
	ay, March 29th, 2024.
	. This deposition is being
9 9 taken remotely at the r	
	Hippel, LLP. The videographer
	na Legal Services and the
	fer Maute of Magna Legal
13 20, 13 32, 22 13 Services.	Ter Madie of Magna Degar
	and all parties present
	arances and whom they
16 Stipulations 16 represent?	arances and whom they
1	: Edmond George for the
18 None 18 defendants.	. Lamona George for the
	Good morning. Aneca
	r. Along with me is Erica
21 Question Marked 21 Arras on behalf of the	
22 Page Line Page Line Page Line 22 Liquidation Trust.	riamini, the Anaix
	RAPHER: We also have William
	avier Torres that are both
25 Salduti with us and 3a 25 present.	avier rorres that are both
Page 8	Page 9
1 Will the court reporter please swear 1 okay if we go off reco	
2 in the witness? 2 MR. GEORGE	
	GRAPHER: We're going to go off
4 right hand, please. 4 record. The time is 10	
	discussion was held off
6 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, 6 the record.)	410 400 HO
	RAPHER: We're back on record
8 THE WITNESS: I do. 8 at 10:04 a.m.	
9 COURT REPORTER: Great. We can proceed. 9 Counsel, proc	ceed.
MS. LASLEY: Ed, before you start, I'm 10 BY MR. GEORGE:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	g, Mr. Waldie. My name is
	with the law firm of Obermayer,
, ,	thippel. I'm going to be taking
	in connection with a matter
	he AIRN Liquidation Trust
	vier Torres, Dora Dillman,
	d Media Effective. I'm going
MS. LASLEY: Yep. 18 to take your deposition	
	ays in this proceeding is going
	e also having a video of you
MS. LASLEY: He's on. 21 taken at this time.	·
	l your deposition taken
23 Aneca, but 23 before?	
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, I can rearrange 24 A. Yes.	
25 it, sir, so that everyone sees him, but would it be 25 Q. How many time	mes?



Page 11 Page 10

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- At any time -- and at any time, were you Q. a defendant in any lawsuit?
  - No.
- Q. I'm going to try and set some rules out for you. I'm going to ask you a series of questions relating to this case. I'll to try ask them to you in a straight-forward way. Sometimes my words get jumbled or I say something and it's inarticulate. If you don't understand it, it is important for you to tell me that you don't understand it so I can rephrase it in a way in which you can understand it. If you answer the question, we are going to assume that you heard the question, that you understood it and that your answers are responsive.

Do you understand that?

- A. Yes.
- O. It's also important that you answer orally. You can't say um-hum or uh-uh. Those are things that could be confused by the reporter or the reader. So it's important that you answer affirmatively or negatively to all of the questions that I ask and that you don't blurt out an answer. It's important that you make sure you understand

that rule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing is, because everything is being recorded, we can't talk at the same time. I'll try not to talk over you and if you'll kindly try not to talk over me, that would be great.

Do you understand those rules?

- - Q. Are you under the influence of any drugs or any medication that might affect your ability to either recall or answer the questions truthfully or accurately that I'm going to pose to you?
    - A. No.
  - So, Mr. Waldie, I'm going to start with Number Exhibit-16, which is your Notice of Deposition. I want to go to the -- to the document reauest.

Okay. Mr. Waldie, have you ever seen this Notice of Deposition before?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you, personally, make an effort to recover documents?
  - A. I did and my team.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry? 24
  - A. Me and my team.
  - Who is your team? O.

Page 12

- A. Staff would have been Rich Bunion, Christina Keith. Maybe a couple of others, but I know for sure those two.
- Q. So you didn't look for these documents yourself, you had your staff do it?
- A. I looked for documents as well. I looked at what they sent over, but, no, I did not pull all the documents together.
- Q. So you didn't look for them, you looked at them after your staff produced them?

MS. LASLEY: Objection.

12 Mischaracterizes.

> THE WITNESS: On some, yes, but not all. BY MR. GEORGE:

- Q. But not all?
- 16 A. Right.
  - Q. So did you produce all the documents you've reviewed in connection with your investigation into the NRIA finances?
    - Yes.
  - So there are no other documents that you reviewed other than the four that was provided to me yesterday by Ms. Lasley?

MS. LASLEY: If I could, Counsel, I want to be clear. I -- we had an agreement. I -- I had

told you ahead of time that he has reviewed every financial record of this company that we have. And absent when you asked to produce every single one of those, we have agreed and produced to you summary charts that cor -- essentially summarize all of that information that he has reviewed to date or analyzed to date. And so, no, not every document has been turned over to you, but that was pursuant to what I thought was our agreement.

Page 13

MR. GEORGE: So, Aneca, we were talking about insolvency. Not anything else and so when I said --

MS. LASLEY: When we talked --

MR. GEORGE: Excuse me. When I talked to you about it, I said, you know, I wanted to know about, you know, insolvency and when it happened, you said that means every single document, can we give you a summary? But we didn't talk about any of the other documents. I mean, it is what it is. I guess we have a disagreement here, but I understand what you're saying.

MS. LASLEY: Yeah. I mean, your category, Number 1, for example, Ed, "All documents you reviewed in connection with the underlying investigation into NRIA's finances." I am still

Page 14 Page 15

happy today to turn over thousands -- hundreds of thousands of pages of documents to you that reflect those finances. But it was --

MR. GEORGE: Yes.

MS. LASLEY: -- my understanding that in terms of finances we would start with the summary sheets and if there was anything that you wanted to see that fed into those summary sheets, you would let me know and we would turn those over.

MR. GEORGE: Okay. And how about --MS. LASLEY: And if that's wrong, that's on me. Not on him.

MR. GEORGE: Understood.

14 BY MR. GEORGE:

- Q. "Any documents showing when NRIA first became insolvent," did you produce documents relating to that?
- A. We -- we produced a -- a summary of a cash balance analysis.
  - Q. So --
- A. And -- and --
- Q. Go ahead. I didn't mean to interrupt you. Cash balances?
- A. It was a cash balance analysis, but there's ongoing balance sheet testing, which has

1 not been provided.

- Q. And is that balance sheet testing going on now?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And when was that started?
  - A. A couple weeks ago.
- Q. And so was there ever a -- an insolvency analysis for the year 2016 that was completed by your firm prior to the filing of this lawsuit?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was there an insolvency analysis completed for 2017 prior to the date of the filing of this lawsuit?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was there an insolvency analysis done for 2018 prior to the date of filing this lawsuit?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was there an insolvency analysis done prior to 2019 for this company prior to the filing of the lawsuit?
- A. The -- well, going back prior to the filing of the lawsuit the cash balance analysis has been done for quite some time.
- Q. And how about the balance sheet analysis, that -- was that ever done for 2019?

Page 16

Page 17

- A. It's not complete at this time and I am happy to explain.
- Q. No, you don't have to explain. How about 2020, was there one done for 2020?
  - A. It's in process.
  - O. And how about for 2021?
  - A. In process.
- Q. Do you have any understanding of why the insolvency analysis wasn't completed for these years that are in question here prior to a lawsuit being filed that claimed that the debtor was insolvent in each of these years?
  - A. Well, the debtor was insolvent.
- Q. I didn't ask you that. Can you answer the question I asked you?
- A. We're unwinding a fraudulent enterprise that has over 100 MDs and bank accounts. They do not have consolidated financial statements. So we have the transactional data that now we have to extract because there's no historical year over year data. So we need to reconstruct that and put that together. That is a massive task.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. When we're dealing with false financial

- statements, fraudulent bank statements, imperfect records, things got to be validated. This is not like doing an insolvency analysis on a company that wasn't involved in a Ponzi scheme.
- Q. Understood. For 2016, what evidence do you have that the NRIA was conducting a Ponzi scheme?
  - A. For 2016?
- 9 Q. Yeah.
  - A. On a cash -- on a cash balance analysis what we've done was, we looked over all of the accounts and looked at the balances day over day. Then we extracted the investor contributions net of redemptions for the period. Based on that, we see no -- no later than November 17th, they're under water and unable to meet current debt obligations without the use of those investor funds. That does not include indirect contributions from investors where it went to like title companies and so forth. If we take those into account, then we're talking about another 38 million dollars, which would move the bar back to January of 2016, but they did not have the cash on hand to meet their debts or pay them.
    - Q. And do you have documents showing what

	Page 18		Page 19
1	debts were unpaid in 2016?	1	BY MR. GEORGE:
2	A. It's not what's unpaid. It's they would	2	Q. Okay. And was there a time when NRIA
3	not have been able to pay them. If	3	stopped paying debts as they became due?
4	Q. And how do you know what investor	4	A. Well, again, this is a Ponzi scheme,
5	cont	5	right, so it's new money
6	MS. LASLEY: Please let him finish	6	Q. Well, you keep saying that. I'm not
7	answering the question.	7	sure I necessarily agree.
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I thought he was	8	MS. LASLEY: Will you please let him
9	done.	9	answer?
10	BY MR. GEORGE:	10	The question was, was there a time
11	Q. And how did you know what	11	when they stopped paying their debts when they came
12	MS. LASLEY: And let him go back,	12	due? That's the question he needs to answer.
13	please, and finish answering the question.	13	THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer
14	THE WITNESS: I said not unpaid. I said	14	because they were not they were using investor
15	they were only able to pay because they were using	15	funds to stay afloat. They were desperate to bring
16	investor contributions. Without those new	16	in money, just like
17	investors they would not have been able to meet	17	BY MR. GEORGE:
18	their current debt obligations.	18	Q. Well, once well, once the investor
19	BY MR. GEORGE:	19	money was put in, it wasn't separately segregated,
20	Q. So on day one in 2016 they were	20	right, it was co-mingled with the debtor's money,
21	insolvent, that's your testimony?	21	wasn't it?
22	MS. LASLEY: Objection.	22	A. It's co-mingled. Correct.
23	Mischaracterizes.	23	Q. And in your insolvency analysis, did you
24	You can answer.	24	value any of the other assets other than cash?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.	25	A. I told you the insolvency analysis is
	Page 20		Page 21
1	still ongoing. I'm saying, all indications show	1	A. We provided all documents to Counsel.
2	that they were unable to meet debt obligations as	2	What agreement you had from there, I don't know.
3	early as 2016.	3	MS. LASLEY: I'll represent to you, Ed,
4	Q. All right.	4	you have all those documents. We produced those to
5	A. Balance sheet tests and insolvency	5	you in re in our discovery responses. If you
6	analysis were taken into account properties, debt	6	want them produced again, they will be duplicate
7	and and the other factors that go into	7	duplicative of everything we produced.
8	insolvency is in process. I told you it's because	8	MR. GEORGE: No. I don't want you to
9	of we're dealing with imperfect and fraudulent	9	produce things twice.
10	records that need to be validated.	10	MS. LASLEY: Okay.
11	MR. GEORGE: Okay. And, Aneca, I would	11	MR. GEORGE: I just want to understand
12	like him to produce the records from Paragraph 2	12 13	whether they've all been produced or not.
13 14	1.2	113	MS. LASLEY: Yeah, you have those.
114	and 3.		
	BY MR. GEORGE:	14	BY MR. GEORGE:
15	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the	14 15	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used
15 16	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the	14 15 16	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup
15 16 17	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients?	14 15 16 17	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes.
15 16 17 18	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients? A. Ed, can you be a little clearer on that,	14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes. Q and it's transactions, did you
15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients?  A. Ed, can you be a little clearer on that, what you're talking about?	14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes. Q and it's transactions, did you produce all those?
15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients?  A. Ed, can you be a little clearer on that, what you're talking about?  Q. Number 5, "All documents relating to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes. Q and it's transactions, did you produce all those? A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients? A. Ed, can you be a little clearer on that, what you're talking about? Q. Number 5, "All documents relating to Alvarez's analysis of the documents received in	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes. Q and it's transactions, did you produce all those? A. Yes. Q. And what documents would those have
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients?  A. Ed, can you be a little clearer on that, what you're talking about?  Q. Number 5, "All documents relating to Alvarez's analysis of the documents received in response to any 2004 subpoena that relate to Javier	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes. Q and it's transactions, did you produce all those? A. Yes. Q. And what documents would those have been?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients?  A. Ed, can you be a little clearer on that, what you're talking about?  Q. Number 5, "All documents relating to Alvarez's analysis of the documents received in response to any 2004 subpoena that relate to Javier or Media," did you produce those?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes. Q and it's transactions, did you produce all those? A. Yes. Q. And what documents would those have been? A. It would have been the bank records. It
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. So Number 5, did you produce all the documents relating to your analysis of the documents that were provided to you by my clients?  A. Ed, can you be a little clearer on that, what you're talking about?  Q. Number 5, "All documents relating to Alvarez's analysis of the documents received in response to any 2004 subpoena that relate to Javier	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Okay. All documents that Alvarez used to determine Media's markup A. Yes. Q and it's transactions, did you produce all those? A. Yes. Q. And what documents would those have been?

	Page 22		Page 23
1	you looked at to determine markup?	1	Q. Did you compare the prices that were
2	A. It's really all that was needed.	2	being charged by Media Effective or or Javier
3	Q. Okay. Did you take any efforts to try	3	Torres to NRIA to the market price for those
4	to compare the prices that Media was charging to	4	particular advertising times?
5	the market rate for the advertising time that it	5	A. No. We looked at what Mr. Torres claims
6	was being billed for?	6	he was charging Media Effective. When asked by
7	A. What I looked at was what Media what	7	him, he was misleading and provided false answers.
8	Hybrid you mean Media Hybrid Media?	8	And that was not until October of '21, but the
9	Q. No. I'm talking about Media Effective.	9	market, we did not we looked at what was there.
10	A. I looked at what Hybrid Media	10	We talked to Hybrid Media. We asked them what was
11	Q. Well	11	customary. They told us. And we looked at other
12	A. I'm sorry.	12	vendors to see what they were charging on their
13	Q. Go ahead, sir.	13	markup. All were half to a third of what Mr.
14	A. I looked at what Hybrid Media was	14	Torres charged.
15	charging as a markup and I looked at Mr. Torres'	15	Q. How did you know the other vendors'
16	explanation of what his markup he claimed his	16	markup?
17	markup was to NRIA of being the 5 to 15 percent.	17	A. I looked at the invoices.
18	And we did we looked at that compared to what	18	Q. Well, how does that show you what they
19	his actual markup was and looked at Hybrid Media's	19	paid for the time?
20	markup, which he said included his fee and he was	20	A. Because they're transparent what their
21	paid by them. We saw no evidence of payments from	21	commission is. It's listed on the face of the
22	Hybrid to Media Effective or Mr. Torres. And	22	invoice.
23	from	23	Q. And did NRIA ever put on the face of
24	Q. Did	24	their invoice what the commission structure or fee
25	A. Go ahead.	25	base structure was?
	Page 24		Page 25
1	A. NRIA, why would they do that?	1	declaration was drafted by me.
2	Q. I mean I'm sorry, Media Effective.	2	Q. Okay.
3	A. They they did that oh, Media	3	A. And my and my team, as far as doing
4	Effective, not until they were questioned in	4	some of the QC and so forth on the numbers.
5	October of 2021. No.	5	Q. Do you have any e-mails between you and
6	Q. Okay. So the entire time for 2016 to	6	trustee's counsel where trustee's counsel provided
7	2020, are you aware of any agreement or document	7	facts to you that might have been contained in the
8	that says that time is going to be sold on a	8	declaration?
9	commission basis?	9	A. I have lots of e-mails from the
10	A. I think it says that on his invoice.	10	Trustee's counsel. I don't know what's in those
11	Q. You think that says that on his invoice?	11	e-mails.
12	A. Yeah. I don't recall off the top of my	12	Q. But that's can you look at Number 10
13	head.	13	specifically and answer that specific question?
14			
	Q. Okay.	14	Did you produce any of those documents?
15	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. If you want to show me a document, I'll</li></ul>	15	A. É-mails between me and I did not
16	Q. Okay. A. If you want to show me a document, I'll be happy to	15 16	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged
16 17	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. If you want to show me a document, I'll be happy to</li><li>Q. No, that's all right.</li></ul>	15 16 17	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged communications between me and Counsel.
16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. If you want to show me a document, I'll</li> <li>be happy to</li> <li>Q. No, that's all right.</li> <li>Did you provide drafts of your</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged communications between me and Counsel.  MS. LASLEY: Bill, read the full Number
16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. If you want to show me a document, I'll be happy to</li> <li>Q. No, that's all right.</li> <li>Did you provide drafts of your declaration filed by the Trustee?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged communications between me and Counsel.  MS. LASLEY: Bill, read the full Number 10 to yourself, first.
16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. If you want to show me a document, I'll be happy to</li> <li>Q. No, that's all right.</li> <li>Did you provide drafts of your declaration filed by the Trustee?</li> <li>A. I had my declaration that I provided,</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged communications between me and Counsel.  MS. LASLEY: Bill, read the full Number 10 to yourself, first.  MR. GEORGE: Well, that's all right.
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. If you want to show me a document, I'll be happy to</li> <li>Q. No, that's all right.</li> <li>Did you provide drafts of your declaration filed by the Trustee?</li> <li>A. I had my declaration that I provided, but we typically just save the new version into a</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged communications between me and Counsel.  MS. LASLEY: Bill, read the full Number 10 to yourself, first.  MR. GEORGE: Well, that's all right.  He's answered it. We'll move on.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. A. If you want to show me a document, I'll be happy to Q. No, that's all right. Did you provide drafts of your declaration filed by the Trustee? A. I had my declaration that I provided, but we typically just save the new version into a box folder.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged communications between me and Counsel.  MS. LASLEY: Bill, read the full Number 10 to yourself, first.  MR. GEORGE: Well, that's all right.  He's answered it. We'll move on.  You can go to the next page, Bill.
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. If you want to show me a document, I'll be happy to</li> <li>Q. No, that's all right.</li> <li>Did you provide drafts of your declaration filed by the Trustee?</li> <li>A. I had my declaration that I provided, but we typically just save the new version into a</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. É-mails between me and I did not produce e-mails between me and privileged communications between me and Counsel.  MS. LASLEY: Bill, read the full Number 10 to yourself, first.  MR. GEORGE: Well, that's all right.  He's answered it. We'll move on.

25

Q. Did the counsel to the Trustee make any changes to your declaration before it was filed?

A. We talked to them, but, no, the

25

BY MR. GEORGE:
Q. Mr. Waldie, do you know Rick Barry?

	Page 26		Page 27
1	A. I do.	1	in the last month?
2	Q. How do you know Rick Barry?	2	A. Maybe. Not not in any great length.
3	A. He's an investigator that's working on	3	Q. Well, do you have a telephone that you
4	this matter.	4	use to call him with?
5	Q. And	5	A. Yeah, or we could have been on a Teams
6	A. Former bureau chief from the New Jersey	6	meeting, you know, with counsel, but I don't know
7	Bureau of Securities.	7	whether or not or not it was about this. I can
8	Q. And do you know him as an investigator	8	probably go back to my time and see whether or not
9	for the trustee?	9	we had a call or a joint meeting and give you the
10	A. Yes.	10	exact.
11		11	
12	<ul><li>Q. Do you know Mr. La Mattina?</li><li>A. I've met him.</li></ul>	12	Č
		13	whether you had a meeting that involved the
13	Q. When did you first meet him?		Trustee's counsel. I'm talking about you and
14	A. I believe it was in May. I don't know	14	Mr. Barry communicating directly without counsel
15	the date offhand, but I I met him twice. Once	15	being involved?
16	at a diner and then once in a an interview	16	A. It's possible.
17	setting in Coun in Counsel's office.	17	Q. Did you provide any facts to Mr. Barry
18	Q. Are you saying May of '23?	18	about the markup that Media Effective was charging
19	A. Yes.	19	NRIA?
20	Q. Now, Mr. Barry, when was the last time	20	A. We would have talked about that at some
21	you communicated with Mr. Barry?	21	point, yes.
22	A. A couple of weeks ago maybe on a call on	22	Q. And you're aware, aren't you, that
23	on on this not this particular matter, but	23	Mr. Barry was in almost constant communication with
24	on an NRIA matter, but not this one.	24	Mr. La Mattina, are you aware of that?
25	Q. Have you spoken to him about this matter	25	A. I
	Page 28		Page 29
1	MS. LASLEY: Objection.	1	where it was asked in a way of of would it
2	Mischaracterizes the facts.	2	surprise you to know that Media Effective charged
3	You can answer.	3	30 plus percent? And then if I recall correctly,
4	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I know he	4	Mr. La Mattina said it wouldn't surprise me.
5	had been in contact. I don't know about constant	5	Outside of that, when we were sitting, you know,
6	contact, or how many times he spoke to him, or what	6	in this
7	they talked about.	7	Q. Okay.
8	BY MR. GEORGE:	8	A I don't know of any other
9	Q. Can you preclude as a possibility that	9	conversation.
10	the information that you gave to Mr. Barry about	10	Q. All right. So now you do recollect a
11	the markup that Media Effective was charging may	11	specific meeting with Mr. La Mattina where the
12	have been provided by Mr. Barry to Mr. La Mattina	12	markup was mentioned by you to him, right?
13	for inclusion in his declaration?	13	A. I said no, that was not me who said
14	A. No.	14	
15		15	that. I recall that being said. I don't know who
	MS. LASLEY: Hold please. Court		said it or how that came up.
16	Reporter, could you please read that question back?	16	Q. You were in the room, though?
17	(W/h	17	A. Yes.
18	(Whereupon, the pertinent portion of the	18	Q. And who were the other people in the
19	record was read.)	19	room?
20	MO I AGIEW OF A C	20	A. Counsel, and and Rick Barry and
21	MS. LASLEY: Object to form.	21	Glenn La Mattina.
22	You can answer.	22	Q. Counsel to the Trustee?
23	THE WITNESS: I don't have any knowledge	23	A. Yes.
24	of him providing information to him to put in his	24	Q. So counsel to the Trustee, you and
25	declaration. It may have come up in an interview	25	Mr. Barry were in a room with Mr. La Mattina where

Page 31 Page 30 the markup issue came up and Mr. La Mattina was 1 Q. I didn't ask you that. Both of those 2 present, right? things that men -- were mentioned in that meeting 3 A. I believe that it was a question, would ended up in his declaration, right? it surprise -- would it surprise you if and he's 4 A. I haven't read his declaration, but I like it -- it wouldn't surprise me. 5 can tell you that the meeting wasn't about what he 6 could put in his declaration. It was an interview Q. And what were the reasons why you said it wouldn't surprise him? 7 about all of the work he had done at NRIA to 8 A. I didn't say that. include his interactions with or knowledge of Media Q. What were the reasons that he said that 9 Effective and Javier Torres. it wouldn't surprise him -- surprise him? 10 Q. No, but I thought you said the last time A. Because he said that nine out of ten 11 you saw Mr. La Mattina may have been last May; is 12 times Mr. Torres' invoices were higher than that correct? 13 everybody else. That when he put out bids, he A. No. First time. would get bids back and Mr. Torres' was always 14 MS. LASLEY: Mischaracterizes his 15 substantially higher than everyone else. testimony. 16 Q. And was this a meeting that you had to You can answer. discuss what was going to go into the declaration, 17 THE WITNESS: That was the first time. because it appears that both of those statements 18 BY MR. GEORGE: appeared, nine out of times ten -- nine out of ten 19 O. That's the first time, last May. And times he was higher and the markup was 31 percent? 20 when was the last time you saw him? A. Well --21 A. I think it was January 11th of this Q. Both of those appeared in his 22 year. 23 Q. Oh, right before he filed his declaration, right? 24 A. I definitely know he said nine out of declaration and you filed your declaration, right? 25 That's the date. Yeah, I think mine was ten times. Page 32 Page 33 like the 17th or 18th. 1 No. 2 You're an FBI agent, former? Q. And at that meeting that you had, is that where the markup was discussed, in January of 3 A. A retired FBI agent. When did you last work for the FBI? this year? 4 5 A. The -- his knowledge of it being nine A. I retired February 28th, 2013. 6 Q. Are you a vet? out of ten times was discussed. Q. And how about the markup? I'm asking 7 A. No. 8 about the markup. Not nine out of ten. Q. I thought I saw on your resume you were 9 A. I'm telling you, I vaguely recall that in Afghanistan. Not connected to the military? part. I think that's how that went. 10 A. I deployed to both Iraq and Afghanistan. 11 Q. You're an FBI agent --I volunteered to go there where I worked with the A. I went back and -- I went back and I 12 military. I was an interrogator in Iraq, Al-Qaeda. 13

3 4 5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- checked my notes. I did not see any of that in my notes. My notes clearly talk about the nine out of ten times of him being substantially higher. That I can tell you for sure happened. The others potentially may have happened if Rick or somebody would have asked it. I don't -- I can't sit here and tell you 100 percent sure that's what happened.
  - Q. Do you -- do you have those notes?
  - A. Yes.

MR. GEORGE: Aneca, I'm going to ask that you produce those notes. BY MR. GEORGE:

24 25

You're not a lawyer, are you?

- Whoever we captured, artil (ph) capture missions, my job was to break them down and interrogate them. I ran a kidnapping unit in Afghanistan with a major crimes task force.
- Q. Have you ever worked for an advertising agency?
- A. No.
- Q. Have you ever been in a situation where as part of your job you reviewed the operations of an ad agency?
  - Outside of this, no. A.
- Yeah, outside of this, obviously. No. Have you ever held yourself out as a



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 34		Page 35
1	person with special expertise in the advertising	1	Q. Have you ever been in any kind of
2	agency space?	2	business other than the current business that
3	A. No.	3	you're in?
4	Q. Have you ever bought or sold advertising	4	A. Yeah. I was in the FBI for 24 years.
5	time?	5	Q. No. I meant, have you ever been in your
6	A. No.	6	own business? I'm sorry.
7	Q. Have you ever bought or sold advertising	7	A. No. I was a an internal auditor and
8	time on radio?	8	a controller for a company that owned nursing homes
9	A. I think that would be, I've never bought	9	throughout New Jersey after I got out of college
10	or sold advertising time.	10	before going into the FBI.
11	Q. Do you know the difference between a	11	Q. Well, in your experience in life, do
12	fee-based and commission-based compensation	12	your vendors share their markup with you? In other
13	structure when it comes to advertising sales?	13	words, if you hire a plumber to come into your
14	A. I'm not I'm not an advertising	14	house, does he share his markup or does he just
15	expert.	15	give you a bill?
16	Q. Have you reviewed any treatises or	16	A. It's not markup. It's just labor. It's
17	learned documents on markups for advertising time?	17	a labor rate, yeah, he tells me how much he charges
18	A. No.	18	an hour.
19	Q. And would it be fair to say then that	19	Q. And if he buys a toilet, do you know
20	your declaration doesn't turn at all on your	20	that he bought that toilet and gave it to you at
21	understanding of the advertising space, but merely	21	the price he bought it at or maybe he marked it up,
22	on your assessment of financial documents in the	22	do you know?
23	case?	23	A. Usually you buy your own toilet and then
24	A. It's based on financial documents,	24	they
25	correct.	25	Q. Well, no. Let's say he supplies you
	Page 36		Page 37
1	one.	1	A. Depending on if the value he charged me
2	MS. LASLEY: Objection. Counsel, you've	2	was commensurate with the product he was providing.
3	got to let him finish responding before you jump	3	Q. Okay. Fair enough.
4	back in.	4	Have you ever read any articles about
5	BY MR. GEORGE:	5	ethics in advertising?
6	Q. Let's assume he supplied you one,	6	A. In advertising, no.
7	Mr. Waldie. Not that you bought your own.	7	Q. Yeah.
8	A. Okay.	8	A. No.
9	Q. Do you ask your plumber how much did you	9	Q. Did you ever review the bills that were
10	pay for that toilet that you're charging me X	10	being presented by Renascent Enterprises to the
11	dollars for?	11	debtor for payment?
12	A. Yes. I bought a generator and that was	12	A. Some of them, yes.
13	the question I asked. So I paid what they paid and	13	Q. Was there detail about the times and
14	then the labor was what they made. Of course I	14	frequency of the advertisings that were running
15	ask. I want to know if I'm getting what I'm paying	15	through Renascent in their bills?
16	for.	16	A. If I recall, they would say what they



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And if you didn't ask and you

just paid for it, would that be a fraud on you?

MS. LASLEY: Object to form.

Q. If you didn't ask whether there was a

markup and you paid the bill, would that be a fraud

If I didn't ask?

You can answer it.

perpetrated on you?

BY MR. GEORGE:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advertised and there would be a fee and then on the

bottom of their invoice it said agency fee and a

percentage so they broke it out. They were

Q. No, that's not what I asked you. I

they ran and what times they ran in the bills?

asked you, did they disclose how many times that

A. I don't know off the top of my head. If

transparent in what they were making.

you want to show me a bill.

	Page 38		Page 39
1		1	
1	Q. Sure. Let's go to 15. Can you see		and what times they ran?
2	that, Mr. Waldie?	2	A. No. Just the date range.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. So can you tell how much Renascent
4	MR. GEORGE: Roll it down, Bill, so he	4	Renascent Enterprises paid for the time that they
5	can see the entries.	5	charged NRIA from this document?
6	BY MR. GEORGE:	6	A. Well
7	Q. Now, do you see there, is there any	7	Q. Not the commission. Not the commission.
8	indication about how many times those ads ran and	8	How much they actually paid for the time that they
9	what the rate was? You see quantity and rates	9	passed onto NRIA?
10	empty in those boxes, right?	10	A. Not from this document.
11	A. I don't what support was behind this, if	11	Q. Well, have you ever seen any document
12	if at all. But on the cover here I see what	12	that showed what Tanvi Chandra or Renascent
13	they charged for the Bolly Radio and Radio Campaign	13	Enterprises were actually paying for the time that
14	in totals and then like I told you before, agency	14	they were providing to NRIA?
15	fee is 15 percent on this one. The one I recall	15	A. I have not done an analysis of Renascent
16	was 11 percent.	16	or Tanvi Chandra's billings.
17	Q. Now, sir, now you can try to answer the	17	Q. Well, are you aware that on a number of
18	question I asked you. Is there any indication how	18	occasions that Javier Torres was able to beat the
19	many times these ads ran, when and where?	19	price that Tanvi was offering for advertising by 50
20	A. No.	20	percent?
21	MR. GEORGE: And can you go to the next	21	A. I have not seen anything to that effect.
22	page, Bill?	22	Q. Have you heard about that?
23	BY MR. GEORGE:	23	A. Just now.
24	Q. How about the second page of 15,	24	Q. You never heard that before?
25	anything there to show how many times the ads ran	25	A. That he beat them by 50 percent?
	Page 40		Page 41
1	Q. Right.	1	ten to twelve, did you see that on the bills?
2	A. With a 36 percent markup, I find that	2	A. I've seen some of his invoices. There
3	hard to believe, but	3	was very few provided. We've done some independent
4	Q. Okay. I'm just asking I'm not asking	4	looks into seeing what's out there, but you know,
5	for your belief, sir. I know you're an FBI agent,	5	I'd have to look at his invoice.
6	you distrust everything everybody says but I'm just	6	Q. Well, when you say there have been very
7	asking for facts in this deposition. Okay?	7	few provided, are you aware that early in this
8	MS. LASLEY: Move to strike.	8	case, early in January, I believe it was, that
9	BY MR. GEORGE:	9	Javier Torres produced every single invoice that he
10	Q. You understand? I just want facts. I	10	had and and and tracked it to every check
11	don't want your speculation. I don't want your	11	that NRIA wait. When you say he didn't produce
12	biases. I don't want your opinions. I want facts.	12	any invoices, are you sure that that's true?
13	MS. LASLEY: Again, move to strike.	13	A. No. Those invoices, yeah. I I
14	Object. Argumentative. That's not even a	14	his invoices. Are you talking his invoices? We've
15	question.	15	got those
16	THE WITNESS: I I have not seen	16	Q. Yeah. You said he didn't provide all
17	MS. LASLEY: There's not a question.	17	his invoices and I'm suggesting he provided all of
18	BY MR. GEORGE:	18	his invoices.
19	Q. Do you know whether the NRIA documents	19	A. I don't know if it was all of the
20	that he amerided Inview on Media Effective	20	invaiges I'm turing to I'm turing to nomember

20

21

22

23

24

25

payments.

The -- the actual frequency. You know,

that he provided, Javier or Media Effective

ten times at -- at nine to ten, fifteen times at

the ads were actually running?

A. The dates you mean?

provided to the -- to NRIA showed the times that

20

21

22

23

24

it was all of his invoices. It was all of his

invoices. I'm trying to -- I'm trying to remember

and a Hybrid invoice I recall, but I don't know if

what was on there. It was the amounts he was paid

So if I were to tell you that the bills

- for Media Effective to NRIA contained -- contained 1 2 the times and frequencies of the showing of the --3 of the commercials on the advertisement, you wouldn't have any reason to dispute that sitting 5 here today, right?
  - A. If they're there, they're there.
  - O. I'm just saying you didn't see any invoices in your review of the production that we made back in January that -- that didn't have that kind of information on it?

MS. LASLEY: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Again, you know, put one in front of me. I'm going on memory.

MR. GEORGE: Okay. Bill, can you go through the rest of those?

16 BY MR. GEORGE:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

- Q. And I'm just going to ask you the same question with respect to those remaining invoices. And I'll represent these were produced by your lawyer and attached to a deposition. Do you see that these are all the same in the respect that there's not any information about the quantity or rate?
- Α. On the break -- yeah. I -- I see the date range and I see the names and totals for --

for each campaign.

- Q. But you don't see how many times the ads ran. It's the same question as before, sir. Do you want me to --
- A. I --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. -- just go through all --
  - A. I can --
- 8 Q. -- nine questions or do you want to just 9 answer that question?
  - A. I don't see the time that they ran.
  - Okay. How many times have you testified in court?
- 13 A. I have no idea. A lot.
  - O. Dozens?
  - A. I -- I don't count. I -- I don't know about dozens, but you know, a lot of times, you know, people don't go to trial when they're indicted on the fed -- in -- on the federal level, but eight, ten, twelve times testifying in trial. Dozens of times testifying in grand jury.
    - Q. Over how many years?
  - Twenty-four. Twenty-three years, eleven A. months.
  - Q. Have you ever seen any learned treaties, any industry periodicals that state that the fee

Page 45

Page 44

- structure commission had to be in a writing? A. I have not looked at --
- Q. Have you ever seen a written agreement between either Media Effective and Javier Torres and -- on one side and NRIA on the other side that set forth that there would be a commission charge as opposed for a fee-for-service charge?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Would you agree that NRIA spent 84 million dollars on advertising?
  - A. Approximately, yes.
- 12 And do you know who the other vendors Q. 13 were?
  - A. For advertising?
- 15 Yes. Q.
- 16 A. I can check. Yeah, I -- you know,
- 17 besides Media Effective it was 43 percent of that budget. And we -- yeah. We have -- we have a list
- 18 19 somewhere, but some were, like, iHeart Radio, you
- 20 know, Fox. It -- it was some of the actual
- 21 advertisers direct.
- 22 Q. And so --
- 23 A. And --
- 24 Q. I didn't mean to interrupt you. Go 25

ahead.

- A. It -- it was -- we have a list of them broken out.
  - So if Media Effective was paid between 34 and 36 million, that would mean almost 50 million dollars was spent in other advertising campaigns, right?
  - A. 36-and-a-half million went to Media Effective. The difference --
    - O. Okav.
- 10 -- of the 84 would have gone to others, A. 11 correct.
  - Q. The question is: Would you agree that after you take into account what Media Effective was paid, that there were over 50 million dollars in other expenses related to advertising?
    - A. Probably just shy of 50 million.
  - Q. And that was money to people other than Javier Torres and Media Effective, right?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And did all of those people who ran those ads, did they aid and abet NRIA and the fraud?
    - MS. LASLEY: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion and I would also instruct not to disclose any work product privilege.



Page 47 Page 46

BY MR. GEORGE: 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Go ahead, sir.
- A. Well, Nick -- the fact that Nick Salzano pled guilty to a Ponzi scheme and said that they used false and misleading advertisers to attract investors into a company that purported to be profitable when in actuality they were making no money, that fact coupled with the fact that Ponzis are inherently insolvent. So if a Ponzi is inherently insolvent from the outset based on that plea, then any transfers made from that point forward would be in furtherance of the scheme. So
  - O. So that -- would --
- A. So as of February of '18, anything based on the lead target in this -- the leader organizer of this Ponzi scheme saying that it was a Ponzi scheme, anything from that date based on his admission in court would be in furtherance of the scheme.
- O. Would be in furtherance of the fraud. But don't you have to share the intention of the -of the -- of the person committing the Ponzi scheme to aid and abet?

A. Okav.

1 MS. LASLEY: Objection. Calls for a 2 legal conclusion. Outside the scope. 3 BY MR. GEORGE:

- O. You can answer.
- A. I think the intent would be presumed based on --
  - Oh. O.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 8 A. -- a Ponzi finding.
  - Q. All right. And you said that --
  - A. The --
  - Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't know you weren't done. You said the intent would be presumed based on what?
  - A. The debtor's actions that they were running a Ponzi scheme.
  - Q. So anybody that dealt with the debtor after that date would aid and abet in the Ponzi scheme, right? It doesn't matter whether they knew whether there was a Ponzi scheme going on or not, any dollar that came out the door after -- after Mr. Salzano said he was running a Ponzi scheme, every one of those would be the people who aided and abetted in the -- in the securities fraud, right?

MS. LASLEY: Same objection. Calls for

Page 49

Page 48

a legal conclusion and outside the scope.

THE WITNESS: What -- what it would mean is that they were -- that they were fraudulent transfers and money they would get --BY MR. GEORGE:

- O. Okay.
- A. -- in furtherance of a scheme.
- Q. But I'm not talking about the fraudulent transfers, sir. Fraudulent transfer don't necessarily require any intent. What I'm asking you, and you're an FBI agent, right, so you've been involved in the prosecution of Ponzi schemes, right?
  - A. I have.
- Q. You've been involved in the prosecution of securities violations, haven't you?
- A. More on the -- I've worked on securities cases, yes.
- Q. Okay. And what I'm asking is, from your experience as an FBI agent involved in the prosecution of a security frauds case, have you ever seen someone prosecuted for aiding and abetting a securities fraud who didn't have knowledge of the wrongful intent?

Well, we're not talking about

prosecution here. This is --

- Q. Okay. I'm just asking.
- A. You know, so beyond a reasonable doubt you're confusing two different legal terms. I'm not a lawyer, but you want me to ask a question about convicting somebody for saying that somebody was involved in a scheme who was profiting off of the victims.
  - Q. So you can't answer the question?
- 10 A. Of aiding and abetting? 11
  - Q.
  - About who? Give me -- give me who do I --
    - Q. I'm saying, you're saying that anybody who got a dollar out of this company after 2018 received a fraudulent conveyance, right?
    - A. I'm not saying anybody who got a dollar. If you're going to say, well, they paid their cable bill so the cable company is, you know, committing fraud, no. I'm talking about people who were involved in helping them do things like promoting through false and misleading advertising, then, veah.
    - Q. Okay. So you said that Mr. Salzano admitted to using false advertisers or



	Page 50		Page 51
1	advertisements?	1	A. Right. And I'm talking about if you
2	A. False and false and misleading	2	can charge what you want to charge if you're
3	advertising.	3	transparent about what you're charging, but you
4	Q. Advertisements?	4	can't mislead and say you're charging one thing and
5	MS. LASLEY: He said advertising.	5	then charge the other. Yeah, it will be fraud.
6	BY MR. GEORGE:	6	Q. Okay. So prior to 2021, when you said
7	Q. Oh, so you're not referring to to	7	that the commission was stated, did you see any
8	people who ran the the the ads, you're	8	documents where Javier or Media Effective were
9	talking about the actual content of the ads?	9	misrepresenting about what they were charging?
10	A. Correct.	10	A. It wasn't asked.
11	Q. So if your view that the average	11	Q. Prior to 2021, did you see any documents
12	commissions is between 15 and 18 or 20 percent,	12	where he was misrepresenting what he was charging?
13	would it be a fraud to charge 25 percent?	13	A. It it wasn't broken out.
14	A. It can	14	Q. Sir, prior to 2021, did you see any
15	MS. LASLEY: Objection. Complete	15	documents where he misrepresented what he was
16	hypothetical.	16	charging?
17	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. If you're lying	17	A. I have not.
18	about what you're really charging, yeah.	18	Q. Sorry?
19	BY MR. GEORGE:	19	A. No. I saw a total on his invoice.
20	Q. I didn't ask you about if you were	20	That's what I saw.
21	lying. I'm asking you, if the average commission	21	Q. Okay. Have you ever heard the term
22	is 15 or 20 percent and I charge 25, is that a	22	"card rate?"
23	fraud? I'm not asking about what my intention is.	23	A. The term what?
24	A. You're you're saying a hypothetical.	24	Q. Card rate.
25	Q. Yeah.	25	A. Card rate?
	Page 52		Page 53
1	Q. Yeah. C-A-R-D.	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yeah. There's yes. So it's standard	2	Q. And you know what remnant time is?
3	rates I would say.	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And when did you first learn about the	4	Q. What is it?
5	card rate, in the last few days?	5	A. It's leftover time, last minute time,
6	A. No. I I've heard that in other	6	time others didn't want. It's kind of, you know,
7	industries, as well. There's rate cards. You're	7	equivalent of wanting floor seats at a basketball
8	talking about a rate card, right?	8	game, but you don't want to pay full rates. You
9	Q. Right.	9	wait until about an hour before the game and hope
10	A. Advertising is not the only industry	10	something is available and you take what you get.
11	with rate cards.	11	Q. And and that's something you probably

- Q. Okay. And did you compare any of the prices that NRIA -- that Media Effective or Javier charged NRIA to the rate cards that existed at the time?
  - A. I did not.

- Q. Okay. And you said you've seen those in other industries. You'd agree that rate cards, that essentially the retail price that they would charge someone to come in and buy that time?
- A. Well, I'm not sure how it works in advertising, but rate cards would be this is the rate we charge for the service we offer.
- Q. Have you ever heard of people purchasing purchasing remnant time?
- Q. And -- and that's something you probably know something about, for example, for the airline industry, right, where as you get closer to the flight if the plane is not full, there are companies like Trivago or other places that will buy a block of seats on that plane and they'll have bought it at a substantial discount because of proximity to the flight; have you heard of that?
- A. No. I've typically had the opposite affect on that, if you wait until the last minute, you pay much more.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever compare the overall rate -- the overall charges that Media Effective or Javier charged NRIA to the other effective rates that the other vendors providing advertising time



	Page 54		Page 55
1	provided?	1	A. In advertising?
2	A. Overall, no. I what we looked at was	2	Q. Anywhere.
3	what Hybrid Media, which was 94 percent of his	3	MS. LASLEY: Objection. Outside the
4	spend, what they charged.	4	scope.
5	Q. Okay.	5	THE WITNESS: I I don't know all of
6	A. We looked at it in comparison to what	6	the margin rules on all businesses across the
7	Mr. Torres charged NRIA.	7	board.
8	Q. Right. But I'm asking about the other	8	BY MR. GEORGE:
9	vendors to NRIA. Not the people that Mr. Torres or	9	Q. When you need to get ahold of Mr. Barry,
10	Media Effective were purchasing from.	10	how do you reach him?
11	A. We're looking at several different	11	A. Cell phone.
12	things in this project. As far as who charged what	12	Q. Sorry?
13	when, off the top of my head, we you know, it's	13	A. By mobile. Usually I call his cell.
14	if there's a vendor or there's somebody so,	14	Q. What's his phone number?
15	yeah, as far as what some vendors have charged	15	A. Can I get it from my phone?
16	compared to others, yeah, that that has come up	16	Q. Sure.
17	in this case.	17	MS. LASLEY: Just for the record,
18	Q. I'm asking, did you do any analysis that	18	Counsel, we'll object to any contact with Mr. Barry
19	compares the rates charged by other vendors of	19	that doesn't go through counsel.
20	advertising time to those that were provided by	20	MR. GEORGE: Understood.
21	Javier and Media Effective under their fee for	21	THE WITNESS: (732) 503-5540.
22	service arrangement?	22	BY MR. GEORGE:
23	A. No.	23	Q. Do you ever e-mail him?
24	Q. Do parties have to disclose their	24	A. Yeah. We've we've have had we've
25	margins to deal with each other at arm's length?	25	had e-mails.
	Page 56		Page 57
1	Q. Do you know his e-mail address?	1	A. I I don't know.
2	A. Rwbarryconsulting@gmail.com.	2	Q. Well, more than ten?
3	Q. Thank you.	3	A. Yeah, more than ten.
4	You mentioned earlier on in your	4	Q. More than a hundred?
5	testimony that you reviewed hundreds of thousands	5	A. Probably.
6	of documents or were in the process of and I	6	Q. More than a thousand?
7	and maybe I'm misstating it. It may have been your	7	A. No.
8	counsel to the Trustee said there were hundreds of	8	Q. Have you or anyone in your staff ever
9	thousands of documents. So let me start over.	9	seen any competitive bid analyses that were
10	How many documents would you say	10	conducted by the debtor when reviewing the
11	you've reviewed in connection with this matter?	11	advertising bids?
12	A. NRIA, the NRIA matter?	12	A. I have not. Whether staff has, it's not
1 つ			
	Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter.	13	something that I've seen.
13 14	<ul><li>Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter.</li><li>A. I I don't know. There's thousands of</li></ul>	14	Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know
14 15	Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter. A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know.	14 15	Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?
14 15 16	<ul><li>Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter.</li><li>A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know.</li><li>Q. Ten tens of thousands?</li></ul>	14 15 16	Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid? A. For what? For what purpose?
14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter.</li> <li>A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Ten tens of thousands?</li> <li>A. I don't review all of those documents.</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17	<ul><li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li><li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li><li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li></ul>
14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter.</li> <li>A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Ten tens of thousands?</li> <li>A. I don't review all of those documents.</li> <li>I have staff that does all of the review. I review</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li><li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li><li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li><li>A. For this here?</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter.</li> <li>A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Ten tens of thousands?</li> <li>A. I don't review all of those documents.</li> <li>I have staff that does all of the review. I review after certain levels when we get there. I'm not</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li> <li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li> <li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li> <li>A. For this here?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter.</li> <li>A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Ten tens of thousands?</li> <li>A. I don't review all of those documents.</li> <li>I have staff that does all of the review. I review after certain levels when we get there. I'm not doing the deep dive into everything. You know, we</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li> <li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li> <li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li> <li>A. For this here?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I have not seen the bid documents.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter. A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know. Q. Ten tens of thousands? A. I don't review all of those documents. I have staff that does all of the review. I review after certain levels when we get there. I'm not doing the deep dive into everything. You know, we we leverage our staff for that.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li> <li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li> <li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li> <li>A. For this here?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I have not seen the bid documents.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's not what I asked you. Try</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter. A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know. Q. Ten tens of thousands? A. I don't review all of those documents. I have staff that does all of the review. I review after certain levels when we get there. I'm not doing the deep dive into everything. You know, we we leverage our staff for that. Q. I get it.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li> <li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li> <li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li> <li>A. For this here?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I have not seen the bid documents.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's not what I asked you. Try to answer answer what I asked.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter. A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know. Q. Ten tens of thousands? A. I don't review all of those documents. I have staff that does all of the review. I review after certain levels when we get there. I'm not doing the deep dive into everything. You know, we we leverage our staff for that. Q. I get it. Now, how about with respect to this	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li> <li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li> <li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li> <li>A. For this here?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I have not seen the bid documents.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's not what I asked you. Try to answer answer what I asked.</li> <li>A. If they're competitively bid?</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yeah. In connect with the NRIA matter. A. I I don't know. There's thousands of documents. I don't know. Q. Ten tens of thousands? A. I don't review all of those documents. I have staff that does all of the review. I review after certain levels when we get there. I'm not doing the deep dive into everything. You know, we we leverage our staff for that. Q. I get it.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Well, wouldn't that be important to know that these things were being competitively bid?</li> <li>A. For what? For what purpose?</li> <li>Q. You don't think it's important?</li> <li>A. For this here?</li> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. I have not seen the bid documents.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's not what I asked you. Try to answer answer what I asked.</li> </ul>

	Page 58		Page 59
1	Q competitively bid, would that be	1	paid through the advertising purchases and on the
2	important to you?	2	fee based arrangement, have you ever seen any
3	A. It could be, depending on whether or	3	documentation to show that Javier or Media
4	not, you know, these were really competitively bid,	4	Effective got money from any other place that
5	if there was insider leaks, if there was bid	5	originated from NRIA?
6	rigging, if, you know, whatever whatever it may	6	A. All of his money came from NRIA. Well,
7	be.	7	the majority of it. Is that the question?
8	Q. Have you ever seen any documentation	8	Q. I'm asking you, other than the money
9	that indicated that either Javier or Media	9	that came through the advertising purchases, have
10	Effective were kicking back money to either Ray	10	you ever seen any checks or monies coming from NRIA
11	Grabato or Nick Salzano?	11	to either Javier or Media Effective that weren't
12	A. I have not.	12	for that that fee based service provider being
13	Q. Have you ever seen any documentation	13	provided?
14	that showed that either Javier or or Media	14	A. The checks we've seen were all in
15	Effective were providing money to family members of	15	connection with the invoices provided.
16	Salzano or Grabato?	16	Q. Is your position that Javier and Media
17	A. I have not.	17	Effective were overcharging NRIA?
18	Q. Have you ever seen any evidence that	18	A. I believe NRIA did not get commensurate
19	other than the advertising money that Mr. Javier	19	value for what Javier Torres purported to be doing
20	Torres or Media Effective were secreting money away	20	for the money.
21	from the bankruptcy estate?	21	Q. And why did it not get reasonable value?
22	MS. LASLEY: I'm sorry. Will you	22	A. Because I don't know what he did.
23	will you repeat that question or have it read	23	Q. Well, did anybody try interview him or
24 25	BY MR. GEORGE:	24 25	ask him? A. Mr. Torres?
23	Q. Right. Other than the money that was	23	
	Page 60		Page 61
1	Q. Yeah.	1	A. No.
2 3	A. He is represented by counsel. I can't interview him.	2 3	Q. Did Mr. Barry, the securities exchange
4		4	investigator, did he reach out to him?  A. Not that I know of.
5	Q. I understand that, but how about early on when the subpoena was issued, did anybody try to	5	Q. Does Glenn La Mattina sound like an
6	reach out to him and ask him what he was doing?	6	honest guy to you?
7	A. I believe he was represented.	7	A. Glenn La Mattina?
8	Q. He wasn't represented before he got a	8	Q. Yeah, Glenn La Mattina.
9	subpoena, was he, sir?	9	A. I've got two meetings with Glenn
10	MS. LASLEY: Objection.	10	La Mattina and we asked him questions. Do I think,
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I think he	11	*
12	THE WITHESS. I don't know. I dink he		vou know, that he was completely transparent on
			you know, that he was completely transparent on everything? I don't know.
13	was represented.	12 13	everything? I don't know.
		12	
13	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE:	12 13 14 15	everything? I don't know. Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You
13 14 15 16	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing?	12 13 14 15 16	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out
13 14 15 16 17	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by	12 13 14 15 16 17	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?
13 14 15 16 17	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by counsel, but I could I could be wrong.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?  Q. The meeting you had with Mr. La Mattina
13 14 15 16 17 18	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by counsel, but I could I could be wrong. Q. Fair enough.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?  Q. The meeting you had with Mr. La Mattina that you were just talking about 30 seconds ago.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by counsel, but I could I could be wrong. Q. Fair enough. But you would agree with me that no	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?  Q. The meeting you had with Mr. La Mattina that you were just talking about 30 seconds ago.  A. Which meeting? I had two.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by counsel, but I could I could be wrong. Q. Fair enough. But you would agree with me that no one reached out to him prior to issuing him a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?  Q. The meeting you had with Mr. La Mattina that you were just talking about 30 seconds ago.  A. Which meeting? I had two.  Q. I don't care. I'm asking you, did you
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by counsel, but I could I could be wrong. Q. Fair enough. But you would agree with me that no one reached out to him prior to issuing him a subpoena to try to find out what he was doing?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?  Q. The meeting you had with Mr. La Mattina that you were just talking about 30 seconds ago.  A. Which meeting? I had two.  Q. I don't care. I'm asking you, did you come out of either of those meetings with a feeling
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by counsel, but I could I could be wrong. Q. Fair enough. But you would agree with me that no one reached out to him prior to issuing him a subpoena to try to find out what he was doing? A. I didn't reach out to him.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?  Q. The meeting you had with Mr. La Mattina that you were just talking about 30 seconds ago.  A. Which meeting? I had two.  Q. I don't care. I'm asking you, did you come out of either of those meetings with a feeling about his credibility?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was represented. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. So your answer is that nobody reached out to him, other than through the subpoena, to ask him what he was doing? A. I still believe he was represented by counsel, but I could I could be wrong. Q. Fair enough. But you would agree with me that no one reached out to him prior to issuing him a subpoena to try to find out what he was doing?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everything? I don't know.  Q. Well, you're an FBI agent, sir. You don't have to gild the lily with me. You came out of that meeting with him with a feeling about his credibility, didn't you?  A. Which meeting?  Q. The meeting you had with Mr. La Mattina that you were just talking about 30 seconds ago.  A. Which meeting? I had two.  Q. I don't care. I'm asking you, did you come out of either of those meetings with a feeling

Page 62		Page 63
anybody you interview the first time is not going	1	questions that get into other areas of this
to give you everything. But he did give us a lot	2	investigation and that is our work product and I
of verifiable information that happened at NRIA.	3	would instruct him not to answer.
Q. And you still didn't answer my question.	4	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
Did you, personally, come out of that meeting, that	5	BY MR. GEORGE:
second one, where you discussed the substance of	6	Q. So, Mr. Waldie, are you aware that
this matter with him on a feeling about his	7	Mr. La Mattina as far back as 2020 knew that bills
credibility? Not about whether he gave you a fact	8	weren't being paid at NRIA?
that you could verify.	9	A. That's not a question I asked.
A. I did not have a a feeling on his	10	Q. I didn't ask you whether you asked it.
credibility. I thought when we asked him a	11	I said, were you aware of that?
question he gave us what he thought the answer was.	12	A. If I didn't ask it, how would I be aware
Q. Okay. Was he involved in the Ponzi	13	of it?
scheme?	14	Q. There is a lot of ways you can become
MS. LASLEY: Ob objection. And to	15	aware of things, sir, without asking a question.
the extent that this is the work product that of	16	I'm asking you. If you're not aware, just say
counsel, I would instruct you not to answer.	17	you're not aware.
MR. GEORGE: Well, that that's	18	A. I know that he said that without
inappropriate because	19	investor money coming in they could not have paid
MS. LASLEY: It's not inappropriate. He	20	bills.
is Mr Mr. Waldie is our expert.	21	Q. And you know that in spite of knowing
MR. GEORGE: Yeah.	22	that the debtor wasn't paying its bill, he its
MS. LASLEY: He's the expert in this	23	bills, he never went to Nick and Ray and said, hey,
case. He is also a consulting expert over in	24	we should stop advertising, we're not paying our
the overall investigation. You're now asking	25	bills; are you aware of that?
Page 64		Page 65
A. I'm aware of that happening with a lot	1	A. No. They were paying his bills.
of people in this case, including your client.	2	Q. Okay. Are you aware that he went to
Q. Oh, my client went to the debtor and	3	them and said, you're not paying anybody else's
said you're not paying your bills?	4	bills?
A. No, but he he got questioned	5	A. I don't think he was worried about
regarding the legitimacy of the ads and he	6	anybody but himself.
Q. So	7	Q. Okay. Do you know whether he was aware
A didn't go to them and say why	8	that they were not paying their other bills?
Q. Well, I'm going to move to strike that	9	A. I don't know what he was aware of.
because it's not responsive.	10	Q. Okay.
MS. LASLEY: You're going to let him	11	MS. LASLEY: Okay. Counsel, can we take
finish. First of all, you're going to let him	12	a brief five-minute break?
finish answering and then you can do whatever you	13	MR. GEORGE: Sure.
want.	14	MS. LASLEY: Thanks.
MR. GEORGE: Can you read the question	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off
back to him so he can answer the question I asked?	16	record. The time is 11:08 a.m.
	17	(Whereupon, a short break was taken.)
(Whereupon, the pertinent portion of the	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record
record was read)	119	at 11:20 a m

fact?

record was read.)

BY MR. GEORGE:

Q. Right. Did my client go to the debtor

and indicate that he had a concern that they

weren't paying bills, are you aware of that as a

at 11:20 a.m.

BY MR. GEORGE:

Counsel, proceed.

Exhibit Number 1, your declaration.

MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.

Q. Mr. Waldie, we're going to show you

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You're welcome.

Page 66 Page 67 1 1 script written by Javier Torres? 2 2 Mr. Waldie, in Paragraph 25 of that A. An original, no. Q. 3 3 document you talk about advertising scripts. Let's Q. Have you ever seen a script revision 4 go to that, if you will. Do you see that? 4 that wasn't either connected to or prompted by a 5 A. Yes. 5 request by the advertiser to either shorten the 6 6 Q. And you said that, "Torres advised NRIA time period or to remove information that the 7 that they needed to eliminate a sentence in the 7 advertiser didn't want to see in the ad? 8 8 script and suggested removing the wording your A. Yeah, I've seen where they said they 9 9 apartment building secured." were not comfortable with the 10 percent guarantee 10 Do you see that? 10 and where Mr. Torres said we need to do a formal 11 11 response and wrote the response that they thought Yes. A. 12 12 they would have to send in order to make that Q. Now, do you know whether he chose that 13 13 for any particular reason or whether that was just particular endorser comfortable. 14 a function of the fact that it exceeded the time 14 Q. So he was merely passing on the 15 15 information from that endorser to -- to NRIA. limit? 16 It appears because it exceeded the time 16 right? A. 17 limit. 17 A. Pass it on from the endorser and then 18 18 Okay. And you don't have any evidence gave the suggested response. 19 or facts to suggest that the original advertisement 19 O. Okay. And Nick Salzano was the one who 20 that needed amended was drafted by Javier or Media 20 approved that response, right? There's an e-mail there where he says, TY. I assume that's thank 21 Effective, do you, the one that we're talking about 21 22 in 25, your apartment building secured? 22 you, right? 23 23 A. Not on this one, no. A. On -- on which one are you talking 24 24 Okay. And with respect to any changes about? 25 to the scripts, have you ever seen an original 25 Well, let's -- let's -- let's go to one Page 68 Page 69 here. Hold on. BY MR. GEORGE: 2 2 A. I don't believe it's in here. Q. And you see he's sending them a script 3 3 Q. Yeah, I'll -- I'll get it. Hold on. or an e-mail and saying, "Sending two 4 MR. GEORGE: Let's go to Exhibit 4 revised/approved; 60 second spots to all stations 5 5 Number-2, Bill. all markets for replacement and 50/50 rotation. On 6 6 30: I need to eliminate one sentence with four or BY MR. GEORGE: 7 7 Q. Do you see that, sir? more words at least, from the below copy. Can we 8 8 A. TY? eliminate?" 9 9 O. Yeah. So he's asking Nick which one of those 10 10 line is Nick okay with removing; isn't he? A. Yeah. 11 MR. GEORGE: Can we go to the end of it, 11 A. Yes. Bill, please. Hold it a little bit. 12 12 Q. Okay. You don't see one where he says, 13 13 BY MR. GEORGE: well, we shouldn't take this out because this 14 Q. So do you see this e-mail, sir? 14 wouldn't give the message that we're giving 12 15 Go up a little bit more, Bill. 15 percent return, you don't see any e-mails like

Yes. A. Q. And the -- what Javier is doing there is showing Nicholas what's in each line of the add, right? Do you see it has one is on the next page back, do you see it has -- go ahead, Bill. Do you see, attention investors is Number 1? So these are the lines that are the lines from the script, right? A. Yes. MR. GEORGE: And go up, Bill.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- that, do you? A. Well, I see e-mails, for example --Q. Sir, answer the question. I tried. A. O. No. Did you see any e-mails where he said that we don't want to eliminate this because we won't be able to say there's 12 percent; did you see him do that? A. Those exact words, no.
  - MR. GEORGE: Okay. Let's go to Number



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 70		Page 71
1 2	3, Bill. BY MR. GEORGE:	1 2	Q. Did you ever see any scripts where Mr. Torres was making the decision to either delete
3	Q. Can you see a Number 3, sir, do you see	3	or remove or edit that wasn't prompted by a request
4	here he says	4	from the advertiser?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bill, you getting it? He says, "The 30 spot is still too long. Attached is also doc with time measurements."

Do you see that?

Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So he's providing this to NRIA for their approval, right?

A. Yes.

MR. GEORGE: Go down, Bill.

BY MR. GEORGE:

Q. And then Nick says to him, hey, sorry but we have to record the line in green on the attached 60 seconds script.

So that's Nick writing to Javier and saying this is a line I don't want to take out, right?

A. Yes.

MR. GEORGE: Go to Number 4. Bill, again, can you go to the --

BY MR. GEORGE:

A. He made suggestions. They approved it.

Q. Did you see any evidence of Mr. Torres trying to move any money, for example, out of the country after the subpoenas were issued?

A. We saw payments to family members.

Okay. But did you ever see him try to move money in bulk from himself to himself in another country like Colombia?

A. Not in another country.

MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, sir, can we go now to Number 9. I have to slide a little closer because my eyes are so bad.

MR. SALDUTTI: We can try to make it bigger.

MR. GEORGE: No, that's okay. BY MR. GEORGE:

Q. So, Mr. Waldie, can you tell me what this document is?

A. Yes. This is what I was talking about before, that based on a cash balance analysis. Not an insolvency analysis. There's a difference. And

Page 72

Page 73

- it -- it appear -- based -- this -- this graph will show the departure in or about November 2017. Where if not for new investor contributions NRIA would not be able to meet their current debt obligations. If you take into account investor contributions not directly to them, but through third parties like a title company, etcetera, that date moves back to in or about the January of 2016 date. That's what I was talking about before when I say based on the cash, an inability to pay debts. Not a full analysis of insolvency now. It appears based on this that they may have been insolvent as early as 2016.
  - Q. May have been. So what's that solid line in the middle?
  - A. That's just a zero. That's -- that's zero cash balance in the banks so --
  - Q. And did they start in 2016 with zero in the banks?
- A. We started our timeline from '16 forward.
- Q. Did it have -- did they have zero in the bank in 2016?
  - A. Based --
  - That's what this shows, right?

- A. Well, it -- it's very close. It's not for, and I'm -- if I remember correctly on this one, there was a couple of small like 85,000 or 100,000 round dollar transfers from US Construction that came in at that time where they were under water. And there was a lot of money what moved back and forth on verbal agreements and so-called loans and so forth. That's part of our ongoing analysis, that if not for that deposit, they would -- they would have been at zero on 1/16.
- Q. So they had other money that was in the form of loans that they had made to US Construction that wouldn't have been cash, that would have been an asset is what you're saying, right?
- A. Well, it's purported loans. There was a lot of money back and forth for inexplicable reasons. There were alleged verbal agreements on paying debts for them that there was no additional proof for, to the tune of about 5 million dollars. There was a lot of co-mingling and -- and fraud going on. We had to adjust, too, for true bank balances because when we got subpoenas back from one of the financial institutions we noticed that the numbers didn't match what we got from the debtors and it was a pretty good fake. So we -- we

- looked at the bank statements. The bank statements had been altered to increase their cash position, which in effect made the financial statements inflate cash value because the bank statements that they had were fictitious. They altered the originals.
  - Q. You're saying --

- A. It's not something that a company that's strong on cash needs to do in order to borrow money, or get money or sustain operations.
- Q. When did they start altering bank statements?
- A. The first ones we found were in 2018. We found them again in 2019.
- Q. Do you have any evidence or facts to indicate that Mr. Torres was aware that the debtor was fabricating or fraudulently creating financial documents?
  - A. On -- on that, no.
- Q. Okay. So then let me just go back to this line, sir. And I'm sorry I'm going to get up here a little bit. But it shows -- is that number in the middle a five or a zero between the 110 million above and the 110 million below?
  - A. It's a zero.

Page 76

- A. I think that is they're unable to meet current or short-term obligations without investor funds, new investor funds.
  - O. In November of '19?
- A. Well, also in November of 2019, but prior to that, too.
- Q. Okay. And in determining what kind of obligations they had to pay at this time, how did you determine that?
- A. We -- I -- I told you. We took the cash position day over day for all bank accounts combined. This isn't just one account, or their main account, or their operating account. It's not the 1872 main operating. It's all accounts. There's like 55 bank accounts at the time. And we peeled out the investor piece of that to see if NRIA would be able to meet its obligations and without it, they can't.
- Q. Okay. What I'm asking is about -- I'm not asking about the cash side. I'm asking about the obligation side. What obligations did NRIA have to pay at that period in November of '17?
  - A. I don't know off the top of my head.
  - Q. Well, did they have --
  - A. The --

Q. That's a zero?

A. Yeah. The ax -- the axis runs at zero. The black line would be including investor contributions. The red line would be the cash position if they were removed.

- Q. And so you're making reference to M-9 -- M-17 as the date when the actual cash position drops below the baseline?
  - A. With direct contributions.
  - Q. Okay. And did --
- 11 A. 30 to 38 million in direct contributions.
  - Q. And --
  - A. After that it goes back to 16. And even with this, it would still drop, if not for a couple of those questionable transactions that I --
  - Q. Now, are you aware that there was discovery proffered to the Trustee and their indication was that the debtor stopped paying its bills when they came due in Nov -- in November in 2019?
    - A. That they stopped paying their bills?
  - Q. Yeah, that they stopped paying their bills when they came due or were unable to pay their bills when they came due in November of '19?

Page 77

- Q. -- have mortgages?
- A. The -- the balance sheet test is ongoing, if -- if that's where you're going as far as trying to understand property values at a given time, mortgages at a given time. The historic -- the historical data -- data is not year over year. That's what we're reconstructing now. And the financial statements that we got, even though there was a compilation of they were not audited, are -- and some years were inaccurate for certain reasons like false bank statements and so forth increasing their cash position. And -- and other items that we're vetting now trying to untangle -- untangle this fraudulent enterprise.
- Q. So sitting here today, you don't know what the actual current obligations were in November of '17?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And when the money came into the debtor's accounts, was that the debtor's money?
  - A. When it came in?
  - Q. Yeah.
- A. Well, it was investors investing in that money, but the money was being used for other things.



Page 78 | Page 79

Q. Right.

- They looted the account. They diverted it for extravagant expenses buying cars, buying houses, using what should have been an investment in one project for another project. There was a pattern of failed real estate here where they were purporting to be successful and selling at these profits where most of the properties were at a loss. Meanwhile, they're offering these to be --too good to be true guaranteed returns of 10 and maybe even up to 24 percent throwing an enticement to try and keep people in the fund. So there would be a make whole agreement. There would be, you know, a buyback agreement. And then they put a --put a kicker in so when the money rolled in there was no true value with it. They're rolling phantom equity in doing whatever it took to keep people in because they knew if they redeemed that, they --their business could collapse. It's -- it's --it's seen all the time in a Ponzi scheme. It's iust the way it works.
  - Q. Okay. And now I want you to try to answer the question that I asked you because I think it was a little bit different than that.

Can you read the question back,

Reporter?

COURT REPORTER: Sure. Let me find the original question.

MR. GEORGE: Oh, never mind. I remember it.

COURT REPORTER: Okay. Are you sure? MR. GEORGE: I've got it. Yes.

## BY MR. GEORGE:

- Q. Mr. Waldie, was there any kind of documentation within the company that required the debtor to separately segregate the money that was being paid in by the investors?
  - A. On some of the deals, yeah.
  - Q. There were?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. And so when you did this chart, did you -- how did you treat money that was segregated and set aside and not part of the debtor's money?
- A. It was never set aside. It was supposed to be set aside, but it was co-mingled.
  - Q. Oh, it was co-mingled.
- A. And used wherever they needed to use it. They had entity accounts set up as flowthroughs where all of the money that would go in for a particular entity to all go back through this back

Page 81

Page 80

into NRIA and they used it wherever they wanted. Not necessarily in connection with the investment that it was -- that it was told it was for.

- Q. What I'm really trying to -- what I'm really trying to get at, sir, is, that with respect to the majority of the funds that came in from investors, they weren't separately segregated, they were co-mingled with the debtor's monies, right?
  - A. Yup.
- Q. And those monies, those co-mingled monies did allow that debtor to continue to pay its -- its bills for a significant period of time, right?
  - A. Only with investor money.
  - O. Understood.

But there was nothing that required them to separate that money and hold it out for the investors, right? It was the debtor's money once it went into the debtor's bank account, right?

A. Well, they purported to have, you know, this big cash position and success and revenue coming in, but they didn't. They only had investor money. It wasn't a real -- it wasn't a legitimate business. And anybody looking at the offerings would have known that.

- Q. Well, apparently 660 million dollars worth of funds from thousands of people didn't demonstrate that clearly, right?
- A. Well, they -- you know, they -- they got enticed with all of the things that fraudsters do. Nick Salzano is a career criminal. It's not the first time he's done this.
  - Q. Well --
- A. If you're looking him up, you would have seen it, if you've done any kind of diligence on him. People didn't do it. You know, I'm not faulting them for it. They didn't do it. You know, what was it? You know, they wanted to believe what they heard, vendors that got involved with him, was it willful blindness on their part or their own greed to make money? All that comes into play.
- Q. Do you know whether your firm or you or your team went through Glenn La Mattina's e-mails?
- A. I did not go through Glenn's e-mails.
- Q. Did anybody on your team?
- A. Only if, you know, because work e-mails I don't know if we looked at his e-mails. I -- I'd be lying to you if I said I know that was one. We -- we -- we've got millions of documents in there



	Page 82		Page 83		
1	and we do do e-mail searches and go through, do key	1	do like asset tracing and things like that in		
2	word searches. His name would have come up in	2	looking at various targets across the board.		
3	certain things where it popped on something, but I	3	Q. But, sir, what I'm asking you, because		
4	don't know if we specifically did e-mail review	4	Mr. La Mattina provided a declaration that was		
5	targeting him.	5	patently false in this case and what I'm asking is,		
6	Q. Well, he was the chief operating	6	what investigation did Alvarez do to try to		
7	officer, right?	7	determine what kind of facts Mr. La Mattina was		
8	A. At one point, yeah.		8 actually in possession of before that declaration		
9	Q. Okay. And you're telling me the chief	9	was drafted?		
10	operating officer of a Ponzi scheme, nobody from	10	A. Mr. La Mattina was interviewed and told		
11	your firm, Alvarez, sat down and looked at his	11	us the answers to his questions. What he did in		
12	e-mails?	12	his deposition was as surprising to us as it was to		
		13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13	A. Well, we're we're trying to		you.		
14	spend investor's money wisely where we think we'll	14	Q. And what I'm asking you is, you know		
15	get a return for the buck. Right.	15	that this is a Ponzi scheme at this time, sir, in		
16	Q. Is that why there's four lawyers	16	January, right?		
17	A. There's not	17	A. Yep.		
18	Q at every deposition?	18	Q. You know that he's the chief operating		
19	A. What?	19	officer of that Ponzi scheme, right?		
20	Q. Is that why there's four lawyers at	20	A. Yup.		
21	every deposition, you're trying to save investors	21	Q. And you sat down in a meeting and he		
22	money?	22	blindly says, well, that sounds right and you guys		
23	A. I'm looking at we're we're not	23	put it in a declaration, didn't you?		
24	prosecuting a criminal case here. That's the FBI.	24	MS. LASLEY: Object to form.		
25	What we're looking at are causes of action. We did	25	Mischaracterizes what happened.		
	Page 84		Page 85		
1	MR. GEORGE: That's fine.	1	Q. You had no reason to look. The guy is		
2	THE WITNESS: I didn't put	2	running a Ponzi scheme		
3	BY MR. GEORGE:	3	A. No, he's		
4	Q. Didn't you?	4	Q and he's lying to you left and right		
5	A. I didn't put anything in the	5	about what he did and you had no reason to look in		
6	declaration.	6	his e-mails to confirm whether factually what he		
7	Q. Well, your team, the Trustee's team?	7	was saying was right		
8	MS. LASLEY: Objection. Again, object	8	A. Well, we looked at		
9	to form.	9	Q that's your position, sir, as an FBI		
10					
	BY MR. GEORGE:	110			
	BY MR. GEORGE: O. And if you would have reviewed those	10 11	agent?		
11	Q. And if you would have reviewed those	11	agent? A. We looked at his documents regarding the		
11 12	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was	11 12	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he		
11 12 13	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?	11 12 13	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying		
11 12 13 14	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.	11 12 13 14	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We		
11 12 13 14 15	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.	11 12 13 14 15	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well.		
11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.	11 12 13 14 15 16	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well.  Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Well, not but you don't know because	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a declaration. His declaration was edited by him and		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Well, not but you don't know because you didn't look, did you?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a declaration. His declaration was edited by him and submitted and sworn by him. We don't tell people		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Well, not but you don't know because you didn't look, did you?  MS. LASLEY: Again, mischaracterizes his	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a declaration. His declaration was edited by him and submitted and sworn by him. We don't tell people what to say or what to do. I've never done that in		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Well, not but you don't know because you didn't look, did you?  MS. LASLEY: Again, mischaracterizes his testimony.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a declaration. His declaration was edited by him and submitted and sworn by him. We don't tell people what to say or what to do. I've never done that in my career. Good, bad and indifferent, the evidence		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Well, not but you don't know because you didn't look, did you?  MS. LASLEY: Again, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MR. GEORGE: Come on.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a declaration. His declaration was edited by him and submitted and sworn by him. We don't tell people what to say or what to do. I've never done that in my career. Good, bad and indifferent, the evidence is what the evidence is.		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Well, not but you don't know because you didn't look, did you?  MS. LASLEY: Again, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MR. GEORGE: Come on.  THE WITNESS: We had we had no reason	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a declaration. His declaration was edited by him and submitted and sworn by him. We don't tell people what to say or what to do. I've never done that in my career. Good, bad and indifferent, the evidence is what the evidence is.  Q. You said he was making contributions?		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And if you would have reviewed those e-mails, maybe you would have seen that what he was telling you wasn't the truth, right?  MS. LASLEY: Again, object to form.  MR. GEORGE: That's fine.  THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.  BY MR. GEORGE:  Q. Well, not but you don't know because you didn't look, did you?  MS. LASLEY: Again, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MR. GEORGE: Come on.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agent?  A. We looked at his documents regarding the campaign contributions he said he made, which he did. We looked at the leads that they were paying for and disguising commissions, which was true. We looked at other things that panned out as well. Did we look at every single thing? Again, we did inter we interviewed him. He gave a declaration. His declaration was edited by him and submitted and sworn by him. We don't tell people what to say or what to do. I've never done that in my career. Good, bad and indifferent, the evidence is what the evidence is.		

	Page 86		Page 87
1	contributions to, politicians?	1	discuss, you know, what our what our ongoing
1 2	A. Politicians in Florida.	2	•
3	Q. And he was doing it on behalf of Nick?	3	process is.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	Q. I didn't ask what the ongoing process was. I asked if you were aware of a pending
4 5	A. Doing it on behalf of NRIA and getting reimbursed in cash.	5	
			lawsuit against those criminals that took money
6	Q. Well, he was doing it on behalf of Nick	6	from these investors disguised as contributions?
7	and NRIA, right?	7	A. How do you know they're criminals?
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. You don't think someone who takes an
9	Q. Were those payments to those politicians	9	illegal campaign contribution is a criminal?
10	illegal?	10	A. How do you know it was in their eyes an
11	A. I don't know.	11	illegal campaign contribution?
12	Q. Well, what were they for?	12	Q. Oh, in their eyes. So it matters in
13	A. I don't know.	13	their eyes, right?
14	Q. Did anybody at the Trustee's office try	14	A. Well, if it was a contribution coming
15	to sue those politicians to recover those	15	from a company. I have no evidence to show that
16	contributions?	16	the politician knew that the people giving or
17	MS. LASLEY: Objection. Work product.	17	individual that they were getting reimbursed.
18	Instruct you not to answer.	18	Q. Were they cash
19	BY MR. GEORGE:	19	A. And the NRIA knew that and they knew it
20	Q. Are you aware of the filing of any	20	was illegal, but I don't know if the politician
21	lawsuit against those politicians to recover those	21	knew that at this juncture.
22	monies?	22	Q. Were they cash?
23	A. We have a lot of things going on right	23	A. They were checks and then reimbursed in
24	now, so as far as what we're doing, who we're	24	cash.
25	targeting or how it's going, I'm not going to	25	Q. What does that mean?
	Page 88		Page 89
1	A. Glenn La Mattina wrote a check for a	1	MS. LASLEY: Objection. Instruct you
2	thousand dollars, donated to the campaign	2	objection. Work product. Instruct you not to
3	Q. His own check?	3	answer.
4	MS. LASLEY: Would you let him answer?	4	MR. GEORGE: That's not work product to
5	THE WITNESS: There's campaign	5	get
6	contribution limits, if you if you understand	6	MS. LASLEY: It is.
7	how	7	MR. GEORGE: his assessment. No,
8	BY MR. GEORGE:	8	it's not.
9	Q. I know how it works.	9	MS. LASLEY: You are getting into the
10	A the way the corruption works. Right.	10	facts regarding an investigation into another
11	So there's limits depending on where it is. It	11	target of this litigation involved in the overall
12	could be a limit per individual. So to circumvent	12	broad litigation. That is our work product. You
13	the limits NRIA had employees write checks. So	13	cannot get into that. I will not allow him to
14	individual checks were given to the politician.	14	testify about that.
15	They were told, the people writing the check, like	15	MR. GEORGE: Okay. That's fine.
16	Glenn and others, that they would be reimbursed in	16	BY MR. GEORGE:
17	cash. They knew what they were	17	Q. Do you know of any facts that you can
18	Q. Sir	18	reveal to us today, other than what you've
19	A doing was wrong. I don't have	19	discovered in your investigation, to indicate that
20	evidence to know what the conversations were with	20	Mr. La Mattina did not know that those were illegal
21	that politician to know that it wasn't an	21	contributions?
22	individual con contribution.	22	MS. LASLEY: Same objection. Same
23	Q. Well, when you when you were talking	23	instruction.
24	to Glenn La Mattina, did you come to any conclusion	24	BY MR. GEORGE:
25	about whether he knew it was wrong?	25	Q. You are willing to say that you
	<del></del>		` ' '

	Davis 00		Da 01
	Page 90		Page 91
1	understand that the debtor was trying to circumvent	1	now.
2	the campaign contribution rules by using employees,	2	Q. Well, do you see here that Javier is
3	that you've stated, right?	3	writing the company and saying that he's beating
4	A. That's by assumption.	4	Tanvi's rate by 50 percent?
5	Q. And Mr. La Mattina was one of those	5	A. I see Javier is writing
6	employees, right?	6	Q. By 100 percent?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. I see Javier is writing that.
8	Q. And he was the COO of the largest Ponzi	8	Q. Were you aware that there were other
9	scheme ever to hit New Jersey, right?	9	vendors who were charging more than Javier for the
10	A. He was the COO.	10	ad time that he was selling?
11	Q. Of the largest Ponzi scheme that ever	11	A. As as far as this particular one?
12	hit the State of New Jersey, right?	12	Q. Yeah. Anywhere?
13	A. Is it?	13	A. I I see Javier is claiming he's half
14	Q. You stated that in your papers, that was	14	of this rate on this particular one. Outside of
15	over 660 million. Do you know of a bigger one?	15	that, that's all I see.
16	A. I don't off the top of my head, but I	16	Q. Well, do you have any reason to believe
17	I don't know that it's the biggest one.	17	that he didn't present that, book it and deliver
18	Q. Let's go to Number 13. Have you ever	18	it, do you have any reason to to think that that
19	seen this e-mail before?	19	didn't happen?
20	A. No.	20	A. I've seen other things where he was
21	Q. Never?	21	misleading and lied to NRIA.
22	A. Maybe. I've looked at so many e-mails.	22	Q. I didn't ask you that.
23	It's not it's not jumping out at me.	23	A. I can't say that
24	Q. Have you ever seen this, sir?	24	Q. Sir, that's not what I asked.
25	A. I don't recall seeing it, but I see it	25	A. You want me to make an assumption now
	Page 92		Page 93
1		1	
1 2	I'm not prepared to make based on the track record I've seen with him.	1 2	Q. Do you know whether she was responsible
		3	for filming the commercials?
3	Q. I'm saying, have you seen anything to		MS. LASLEY: Object to form. BY MR. GEORGE:
4	indicate that that wasn't booked? That's all I'm	4	
5	asking you.	5	Q. The advertisements, do you know
6	A. I see it was his claim. That's it.	6	A. I know she was doing internal
7	Q. That was it. So you haven't seen	7	advertising for for them.
8	anything to indicate that it wasn't booked?	8	Q. Do you know whether she was helping to
9	A. I don't I have no idea if it was or	9	write the scripts?
10	wasn't booked.	10	A. She was.
11	Q. I asked you, you haven't seen anything?	11	Q. She was?
12	That doesn't mean whether it was or wasn't. I'm	12	A. She yeah.
13	asking whether you've seen anything?	13	Q. Has the Trustee sued her for aiding and
14	A. Not that I recall.	14	abetting in the securities fraud for helping write
15	MS. LASLEY: Answered.	15	those scripts, to your knowledge?
16	BY MR. GEORGE:	16	A. To date, I don't know that that's
17	Q. Do you know Katey Kana?	17	happened.
18	A. Yup. Well, yes.	18	Q. Do you have any evidence that Dora
19	Q. How do you know her?	19	Dillman was involved in any way in NRIA business
20	A. I interviewed her.	20	or in Media Effective business?
21	Q. What was her position at NRIA?	21	A. Other than being Javier's wife, maybe
22	A. I forget her exact title, but she was	22	dropping off or picking up checks, no.
23	brought as in-house advertising.	23	Q. Did you ever see any competitive bid
24	Q. Was she the director of media?	24	analysis that may have been performed by Katey
25	A. Possibly, yeah.	25	Kana?
2 5	3/3		

	Page 94		Page 95		
1	A. No.	1	Q. I don't know if the reporter heard or		
2	Q. Did she ever tell you that she was	2	not.		
3	performing competitive bid analyses?	3	A. These are these are approximates.		
4	A. Not that I recall.	4	There's about 120 there's about 520 million		
5	Q. Do you know what happened to all of the		5 dollars in claims, investor claims. There's		
6	real estate that was owned by NRIA?	6	,		
7	A. Their the debtor is currently in the	7	average, estimated about 160 million dollars in		
8	process of trying to sell it.	8	projected real estate sales over time. And it		
9	Q. You mean the debtor or the Trustee?	9	leaves about a 360 million dollar hold that the		
10	A. The Trust. The trust. There's a	10			
11	360 million dollar hole that needs to be filled.	11	Q. Do you know how much Alvarez has charged		
12	Q. And has Alvarez done any calculations of	12	so far in this case?		
13	what the value of the real estate is?	13	A. Probably about 8 million.		
14		14			
15	A. Estimated right now average is probably	15	Q. 8 million dollars, and has it been paid		
	going to be about 160 million. So	16	that money?		
16	Q. 160 million?	17	A. It's been paid, except for whatever the		
17	A. Yeah. So you have about 520 million in		most current invoice is.		
18	claims. They think they're going to get in maybe	18	Q. Is Alvarez being paid monthly?		
19	between 130 and	19	A. Yes.		
20	Q. Can sir, I can't hear you. Can you	20	Q. And how many Alvarez employees are on		
21	keep your voice up a little bit?	21	this engagement?		
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Less than you would think. I don't		
23	Q. I'm sorry. I I just couldn't hear	23 know.			
24	you there, that last.	24	Q. Nothing would surprise me.		
25	A. Yeah.	25	A. I'm talking my team. There's there's		
	Da == 0.0				
	Page 96		Page 97		
1	three different groups, right. There's a real	1	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the		
2	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I	2			
	three different groups, right. There's a real		Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick?		
2	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I	2 3 4	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.		
2 3	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And	2 3	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick?		
2 3 4	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team	2 3 4	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick.		
2 3 4 5	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.	2 3 4 5	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick?  A. Nick.  Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick?		
2 3 4 5 6	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick?  A. Nick.  Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick?  A. Not particularly.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You	2 3 4 5 6 7	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick?  A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick?  A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I found out recently. BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times. There's less restructuring in real estate now, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told. Q. Which might be the reason why		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times.  There's less restructuring in real estate now, so probably, no. And you know, so it depends on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told. Q. Which might be the reason why  Mr. La Mattina wants to bang on Mr. Torres, right,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times. There's less restructuring in real estate now, so probably, no. And you know, so it depends on the point in time how many we have, what's going on,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told. Q. Which might be the reason why  Mr. La Mattina wants to bang on Mr. Torres, right, because his girlfriend got business taken from her, right?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times. There's less restructuring in real estate now, so probably, no. And you know, so it depends on the point in time how many we have, what's going on, how busy things are, what's what's on the front burner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told. Q. Which might be the reason why  Mr. La Mattina wants to bang on Mr. Torres, right, because his girlfriend got business taken from her,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times. There's less restructuring in real estate now, so probably, no. And you know, so it depends on the point in time how many we have, what's going on, how busy things are, what's what's on the front burner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told. Q. Which might be the reason why Mr. La Mattina wants to bang on Mr. Torres, right, because his girlfriend got business taken from her, right?  MS. LASLEY: Objection. Calls for		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times. There's less restructuring in real estate now, so probably, no. And you know, so it depends on the point in time how many we have, what's going on, how busy things are, what's what's on the front burner.  Q. Do you have any facts that you discovered that would establish that Javier was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told. Q. Which might be the reason why  Mr. La Mattina wants to bang on Mr. Torres, right, because his girlfriend got business taken from her, right?  MS. LASLEY: Objection. Calls for speculation.  BY MR. GEORGE:		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	three different groups, right. There's a real estate team. There's a restructuring team. I don't know how many are on from those teams. And then there's the disputes and investigations team which has maybe six or eight people.  Q. Okay.  A. So the the fee apps are public. You can see how many people are on them. They're approved by the Court. We had a fee examiner. So  Q. Right.  A you can go to the docket and and get all these answers.  Q. About 20 employees of Alvarez working on this file?  A. Well, you're talking at certain times. There's less restructuring in real estate now, so probably, no. And you know, so it depends on the point in time how many we have, what's going on, how busy things are, what's what's on the front burner.  Q. Do you have any facts that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Outside of that, I don't say he was part of the inner circle.  Q. That he was close with who, Nick? A. Nick. Q. Was Glenn La Mattina close with Nick? A. Not particularly. Q. Do you know if Glenn La Mattina had any kind of meretricious relationship with Tanvi Chandra?  MS. LASLEY: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I I found out recently.  BY MR. GEORGE: Q. What did you find out? A. Yesterday. The day before, I mean. Q. Were they in a physical relationship? A. That's what I'm told. Q. Which might be the reason why  Mr. La Mattina wants to bang on Mr. Torres, right, because his girlfriend got business taken from her, right?  MS. LASLEY: Objection. Calls for speculation.		

	Page 98		Page 99
1	is, right?	1	to the Trustee's case.
2	A. Mr. Torres was on our radar long	2	THE WITNESS: Can you ask the question
3	before N	3	again?
4	Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.	4	BY MR. GEORGE:
5	That's not what I asked you.	5	Q. Mr. La Mattina is sleeping with Tanvi
6	MS. LASLEY: You need to let him answer	6	Chandra, right?
7	the question.	7	MS. LASLEY: Presently?
8	MR. GEORGE: He wasn't answering it.	8	BY MR. GEORGE:
9	MS. LASLEY: You didn't	9	Q. You know that as a fact, don't you?
10	MR. GEORGE: Answer the question I	10	A. I know that secondhand that at one point
11	asked.	11	they had an affair.
12	MS. LASLEY: You may not like the	12	Q. How did you learn that?
13	answer, but he gets to answer it. There's a	13	A. Counsel.
14	process by which you ask the question, he answers	14	Q. This is the game this trustee is
15	it, then you can follow-up.	15	playing.
16	MR. GEORGE: He has to be responsive.	16	A. It's not a game. It's the truth.
17	MS. LASLEY: That's the way	17	Q. You're not suggesting that no part of
18	MR. GEORGE: He's not supposed to	18	the money that Mr. Torres earned or Media Effective
19	narrate and he's not supposed to editorialize.	19	earned in providing these advertises advertising
20	He's supposed to answer the question. And I'm not	20	can be retained by Mr. Torres, right, or Media
21	going to let him use my record to spout stuff that	21	Effective, are you?
22	he says that has no support, factually or	22	MS. LASLEY: Objection. Outside the
23	otherwise. So he can answer the question or not,	23	scope.
24	but he can't sit there and spout off what he wants	24	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. Can you
25	to get on the record because he thinks it's helpful	25	repeat that, please?
	Page 100		Page 101
1	BY MR. GEORGE:	1	A 100,000 a year versus paying him
2	Q. Yes. If the if the margin was	2	millions of dollars.
3	supposed to be 15 percent and he charged 25 or 30,	3	Q. So if if Nick Salzano wanted to hire
4	are you saying he shouldn't even get the 15	4	Michael Vagnoni, for example, my partner, he could
5	percent?	5	go in and do what Javier Torres was doing?
6	A. I'm saying that the money he was paid	6	A. Make a phone call to Hybrid Media,
7	wasn't commensurate with the services he purported	7	probably.
8			probably.
	to provide.	8	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is?
9	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think	8 9	*
		l .	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is?
10	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think	9	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the
10 11	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?	9 10 11 12	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?
10 11 12	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on	9 10 11 12 13	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much.
10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for	9 10 11 12	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a
10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.
10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year could have done. So if you take the fact	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end of the the examination, but I need to talk to Michael and and Bill for a couple of minutes, so
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year could have done. So if you take the fact Q. What's he talking about?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end of the the examination, but I need to talk to Michael and and Bill for a couple of minutes, so  MS. LASLEY: Sure.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year could have done. So if you take the fact Q. What's he talking about?  A that everything he made over that per	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end of the the examination, but I need to talk to Michael and and Bill for a couple of minutes, so  MS. LASLEY: Sure.  MR. GEORGE: So seven or eight minutes
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year could have done. So if you take the fact Q. What's he talking about?  A that everything he made over that per year was probably an	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end of the the examination, but I need to talk to Michael and and Bill for a couple of minutes, so  MS. LASLEY: Sure.  MR. GEORGE: So seven or eight minutes and I'll be right back.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year could have done. So if you take the fact Q. What's he talking about?  A that everything he made over that per year was probably an Q. He was an employee.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end of the the examination, but I need to talk to Michael and and Bill for a couple of minutes, so  MS. LASLEY: Sure.  MR. GEORGE: So seven or eight minutes and I'll be right back.  THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year could have done. So if you take the fact Q. What's he talking about?  A that everything he made over that per year was probably an Q. He was an employee.  A. He wasn't. I'm saying an employee could	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end of the the examination, but I need to talk to Michael and and Bill for a couple of minutes, so  MS. LASLEY: Sure.  MR. GEORGE: So seven or eight minutes and I'll be right back.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. And how much more do you think Mr. Torres got than he should have gotten?  A. Well, the work that he claims he does on his website and the work he said he was doing for NRIA, he was not. The research being done is to what has to go on what ads and so forth was done by NRIA, so what I see based on what I've seen to date is he was a middleman, communication that an employee of NRIA making 100 or 200 grand a year could have done. So if you take the fact Q. What's he talking about?  A that everything he made over that per year was probably an Q. He was an employee.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Oh, that's how easy you think it is? That's how easy you think it is, just pick up the phone and call? Nothing else has to be done?  A. Not much. Q. Okay. Well, that's how we feel about a lot of people.  Can we take maybe a seven or eight-minute break? I'm getting close to the end of the the examination, but I need to talk to Michael and and Bill for a couple of minutes, so  MS. LASLEY: Sure.  MR. GEORGE: So seven or eight minutes and I'll be right back.  THE WITNESS: Okay.

	Page 102		Page 103	
1	(Whereupon, a short break was taken.)	1	A. It was everything was paid. That was	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on	2	that's just the timing.	
3	record. This marks the beginning of Media Number	3	Q. Well, he had a loss then of 2,385,599.	
4	2. The time is 12:14 p.m.	4	Doesn't that mean that NRIA got 3 million dollars	
5	Counsel, proceed.	5	worth of advertising time and only paid a million,	
6	BY MR. GEORGE:	6		
7	Q. Thank you.	7	<b>J</b> 1	
8	Sir, can we go to Number 6, document	8		
9	Number 6? Is that a document that you created,	9	Q. Well, that's not what I asked you. I	
10	sir?	10	asked you	
11	A. My team created it. I reviewed it.	11	A. This this is a timing difference. I	
12	Q. Okay. I want to focus you on 2022, if	12	can't say that it was money that was not paid.	
13	you can. See where that is?	13	This is a carryover. And if you look at all the	
14	A. Yes.	14	invoices he submitted, he was paid for all them.	
15 16	Q. How much did NRIA pay to Media Effective	15	Where it lines up on a date if it rolls over based	
17	in 2022? A. 121 million.	16 17	on timing, that's not material.  Q. Not material?	
18	Q. I'm sorry?	18	A. It's you're looking at the total paid	
19	A. 1.125 million.	19	across. He was paid all of his money. And this is	
20	Q. And how much did the advertising in 2022	20	an accounting of all of it.	
21	cost?	21	Q. And so you don't think that reflects a	
22	A. 3.5.	22	benefit of 2.3 million dollars to NRIA?	
23	Q. And, therefore, neither ME or Javier got	23	A. No, because there's no accounts	
24	a commission on that 2.385, right, because it	24	receivable. Oh, on if he kept books, Mr.	
25	wasn't paid?	25	Torres' books.	
	Page 104		Page 105	
1	Q. Was Alvarez the financial advisor for	1	that, that would be false based on what I was told	
2	the committee as well?	2	by Counsel.	
3	A. Yes.	3	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I don't have	
4	Q. And the 8 million dollars that you	4	anything further. Thank you, sir, for cooperating.	
5	mentioned that Alvarez has been paid, does that	5	I appreciate it.	
6	include the time as committee financial advisors?	6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
7	A. Yes. And it's an approximate. It's	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anybody else have	
8	you know, it's around the 8 million number. So to	8	anything?	
9	my recollection. I don't refute the latest	9	MS. LASLEY: Nothing from me.	
10	invoices in a while so but, yeah, that's since,	10	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.	
11 12	I believe, July of 2022.	11 12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off	
13	Q. Are you aware that Mr. La Mattina testified that he had no relationship with Tanvi	13	record. Time is 12:18 p.m. This marks the end of Media Number 2 and the time is 12:19. This	
14	Chandra in his deposition?	14	marks the end of Media Number 2 and concludes	
15	MS. LASLEY: Objection to form.	15	today's deposition.	
16	THE WITNESS: No. I was not present at	16	COURT REPORTER: Ms. Lasley, do you need	
17	his deposition.	17	a transcript?	
18	BY MR. GEORGE:	18	MS. LASLEY: Yes. And we'd like to get	
19	Q. If he were to have testified that he had	19	a rough of this and then expedite the final.	
20	no personal relationship with Tanvi Chandra, based	20	COURT REPORTER: Okay. When would you	
21	on what you know today, would that be true or	21	like it?	
22	false?	22	MS. LASLEY: Can you get us the final	
23	MS. LASLEY: Object to form. Relevance.	23	by	
24	You can answer.	24	MR. GEORGE: Monday?	
25	THE WITNESS: If if he if he said	25	MS. LASLEY: Can you get us the final by	

	David 100	David 107
	Page 106	
1	the end of the day Monday?	1 CERTIFICATE
2	COURT REPORTER: Yes, and I'll get you	2
3	the rough over the weekend.	3 I, JENNIFER MAUTE, a Notary Public and
4	MS. LASLEY: Thank you.	4 Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New
5	MR. GEORGE: Thanks, everybody.	<ul> <li>Jersey, do hereby certify that prior to the</li> <li>commencement of the examination, WILLIAM WALDIE was</li> </ul>
6	And we want it on the same timing.	7 duly sworn by me remotely to testify to the truth,
7	COURT REPORTER: You want the same, as	8 the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
8	well?	9 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing
9	MR. GEORGE: Yes, that's fine.	10 is a verbatim transcript of the testimony as taken
10	Jennifer. Thank you.	stenographically by and before me at the time,
11		12 place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to
12	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)	13 the best of my ability.
13		14 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
14	(Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of
15	approximately 12:18 p.m.)	any of the parties to this action, and that I am
16		neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested
17		19 in the action.
18		20
19		JENNIFER MAUTE, CCR
20		License No. 30X100227400
21		Notary Public, State of New Jersey
22		My Commission Expires: February 19, 2025
23		23 Date: March 30, 2024
24		24
25		25
	Page 108	
1	LAWYER'S NOTES	
2	PAGE LINE —————	
3		
4	<del></del>	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
		I and the second

A	address	1:4 104:6	1:4,9 7:5
abet	56:1	affair	alleged
45:21 46:24 47:17	adjust	99:11	73:17
abetted	73:21	affect	allow
47:23	admission	11:9 53:20	80:11 89:13
abetting	46:19	affirmatively	altered
48:23 49:10 93:14	admitted	10:23	74:2,5
ability	49:25	Afghanistan	altering
11:9 107:13	ads	33:9,10,15	74:11
able	38:8,19,25 40:22	afloat	Alvarez
18:3,15,17 39:18	43:2 45:21 50:8,9	19:15	21:15 82:11 83:6
69:22 72:4 76:17	64:6 100:14	agency	94:12 95:11,18,20
above-captioned	ADV	33:18,22 34:2 37:18	96:14 104:1,5
2:3	1:2	38:14	Alvarez's
absent	adversary	agent	20:21
13:3	56:24	32:11 33:2,3 40:5	Al-Qaeda
account	advertised	48:11,20 61:13	33:12
17:20 20:6 45:13	37:17	85:10 97:24	amended
72:5 76:12,13,13	advertisement	ago	66:20
78:2 80:19	42:3 66:19	15:6 26:22 61:19	amounts
accounting	advertisements	agree	41:21
103:20	50:1,4 93:5	19:7 44:9 45:12	analyses
accounts	advertiser	52:18 60:20	57:9 94:3
4:20 16:18 17:12	67:5,7 71:4	agreed	analysis
76:11,14,15 77:20	advertisers	13:4	14:19,24 15:8,11,15
79:23 103:23	44:21 46:5 49:25	agreement	15:18,22,25 16:10
accurately	advertises	12:25 13:9 21:2 24:7	17:3,10 19:23,25
11:11	99:19	44:3 78:13,14	20:6,16,21,24 39:15
action	advertising	agreements	54:18 71:24,25
82:25 107:16,19	22:5 23:4 33:17 34:1	73:7,17	72:11 73:9 93:24
actions	34:4,7,10,13,14,17	ahead	analyzed
47:14	34:21 37:5,6 39:19	13:1 14:22 22:13,25	13:7
Activity	44:10,14 45:5,15	44:25 46:2 68:20	Aneca
4:16,18	49:22 50:3,5 52:10	ahold	3:3 7:19 8:23 13:10
actual	52:22 53:25 54:20	55:9	20:11 32:22
22:19 40:24 44:20	55:1 57:11 58:19	aid	Aneca.lasley@ice
50:9 75:7 77:16	59:1,9 63:24 66:3	45:21 46:24 47:17	3:5
actuality	92:23 93:7 99:19	aided	answer
46:7	102:20 103:5	47:22	6:5 10:13,19,22,24
ad	advertisings	aiding	11:10 16:15 18:24
33:22 67:7 91:10	37:14	48:22 49:10 93:13	19:9,12,13 25:13
add	advised	airline	28:3,22 31:16 36:25
68:18	66:6	53:12	38:17 43:9 47:4
additional	advisor	AIRN	49:9 57:22,22 60:14
73:18	104:1	1:6,7 7:5,21 9:15	62:4,12,17 63:3
	advisors	al	64:16 69:18 78:23
1	1	I	I



54:25 14:23 17:12 73:22 86:18 88:4 89:3 attract 98:6.10.13.13.20.23 arrangement 46:5 bang 104:24 54:22 59:2 audited 97:18 Arras 77:9 answered bank 25:21 92:15 3:3 7:21 auditor 16:18 17:1 21:23 articles 35:7 answering 72:23 73:21 74:1,1 18:7,13 64:13 98:8 37:4 available 74:4,11 76:11,15 answers artil 53:10 77:11 80:19 10:16 23:7 83:11 33:13 bankruptcy average 96:13 98:14 50:11,21 94:14 95:7 aside 1:1 58:21 79:18,19,20 anybody aware banks 9:19 47:16 49:14,17 asked 24:7 27:22,24 39:17 72:17,19 59:23 60:5,24 62:1 13:3 16:16 23:6,10 41:7 63:6,11,12,15 bar 65:3,6 80:24 81:21 29:1 32:18 36:13 63:16,17,25 64:1,24 17:22 86:14 105:7 37:21,22 38:18 65:2,7,9 74:16 **Barry** apartment 51:10 57:21,22 75:17 86:20 87:4 25:25 26:2,20,21 66:9,22 61:10 62:11 63:9,10 91:8 104:12 27:14,17,23 28:10 64:16 78:23 87:4 28:12 29:20,25 55:9 apparently ax 91:24 92:11 98:4,5 55:18 61:2 81:1 75:2 98:11 103:9,10 base appear axis 72:1 asking 75:2 23:25 27:11 32:7 40:4,4,7 a.m appearances based 3:1 7:15 48:10,19 49:2 50:21 2:8 7:8 9:4,8 65:16 17:14 34:24 46:10,15 50:23 54:8,18 59:8 65:19 appeared 46:18 47:6,13 59:2 30:19,22 61:21 62:25 63:15 59:12 71:24 72:1,10 B appears 63:16 69:9 76:19,20 72:12.24 92:1 B 100:15 103:15 30:18 66:16 72:11 76:20 83:3,5,14 4:8 7:4 appreciate 92:5,13 104:20 105:1 back baseline 105:5 assessment 9:7 15:21 17:22 approval 34:22 89:7 75:8 18:12 27:8 28:16 70:12 asset basis 30:14 32:12,12 36:4 24:9 73:14 83:1 approved 42:9 58:10 63:7 67:20 71:5 96:9 basketball assets 64:16 65:18 68:20 approximate 19:24 53:7 72:8 73:7,16,22 104:7 associate beat 74:20 75:14 78:25 approximately 8:12 39:18,25 79:25,25 101:21 beating 44:11 106:15 assume 102:2 approximates 10:14 36:6 67:21 91:3 bad assumption beginning 95:3 71:16 85:21 90:4 91:25 102:3 apps balance 96:7 attached begins 4:21 14:19,24,25 42:20 70:7,18 7:3 areas 15:2,22,24 17:10 61:25 63:1 attention behalf 20:5 71:24 72:17 Argumentative 68:21 7:21 86:3,4,6 77:2 belief 40:14 attorney **balances** arm's 107:15,17 40:5



			rage 9
1 10	02.22	44.10	1.6
believe	83:22	44:18	1:6
26:14 30:3 40:3 41:8	blindness	building	capture
59:18 60:7,17 68:2	81:15	66:9,22	33:13
81:14 91:16 104:11	block	bulk 71.11	captured
benefit	53:16	71:11	33:13
103:22	blurt	Bunion	card
best	10:24	12:1	51:22,24,25 52:5,8
107:13	board	bureau	cards
beyond	55:7 83:2	26:6,7	52:7,11,14,18,22
49:3	Bolly	burner	care
biases	38:13	96:21	61:21
40:12	book	business	career
bid	91:17	35:2,2,6 78:19 80:24	81:6 85:21
57:9,15,20,23 58:1,4	booked	93:19,20 97:19	carryover
58:5 93:23 94:3	92:4,8,10	businesses	103:13
bids	books	55:6	cars
30:13,14 57:11	103:24,25	busy	78:3
big	borrow	96:20	case
80:21	74:9	buy	10:7 34:23 41:8
bigger	bottom	35:23 52:20 53:16	48:21 54:17 62:24
71:18 90:15	37:18	buyback	64:2 82:24 83:5
biggest	bought	78:14	95:12 99:1
90:17	34:4,7,9 35:20,21	buying	cases
bill	36:7,12 53:17	78:3,3	48:18
4:15 25:18,22,23	box	buys	cash
35:15 36:21 37:25	24:22	35:19	4:21 14:19,23,24
38:4,22 42:14 49:19	boxes		15:22 17:10,10,23
63:22 68:5,12,15,20	38:10	C	19:24 71:24 72:10
68:25 70:1,5,14,23	break	cable	72:17 73:13 74:2,4
101:17	33:14 42:24 65:12,17	49:18,19	74:9 75:4,7 76:10
billed	101:15 102:1	calculations	76:20 77:12 80:21
22:6	brief	94:12	86:5 87:18,22,24
billings	65:12	call	88:17
39:16	bring	26:22 27:4,9 55:13	category
bills	19:15	101:6,10	13:23
37:9,15,23 41:1,25	broad	called	causes
63:7,20,23,25 64:4	89:12	2:3	82:25
64:24 65:1,4,8	broke	Calls	CCR
75:20,22,24,25	37:19	45:23 47:1,25 97:21	1:17 107:21
80:12	broken	campaign	cell
bit	45:2 51:13	4:24,25 38:13 43:1	55:11,13
68:12,15 74:22 78:24	brought	85:12 87:9,11 88:2	certain
94:21	9:15 92:23	88:5 90:2	56:19 77:10 82:3
black	buck	campaigns	96:16
75:3	82:15	45:6	CERTIFICATE
blindly	budget	capacity	107:1
	Dauget	1 1	10/.1



Certified 87:14 34:12 circumvent 88:12 90:1 2:6 107:4 commencement competitive certify claim 107:6 57:9 93:23 94:3 107:5,9,14 92:6 commencing competitively Chandra claimed 57:15,23 58:1,4 2:7 39:12 97:9 99:6 16:12 22:16 compilation commensurate 77:9 104:14,20 claiming 37:2 59:18 100:7 Chandra's 91:13 commercials complete 39:16 42:3 93:2 16:1 50:15 claims 23:5 94:18 95:5,5 completed changes commission 24:24 66:24 100:11 23:21,24 24:9 39:7,7 15:8,12 16:10 charge clear 44:1,6 50:21 51:7 completely 44:6,7 50:13,22 51:2 12:25 102:24 107:22 61:11 51:2,5 52:20,23 clearer commissions con charged 20:18 50:12 85:14 88:22 23:2,14 29:2 37:1 clearly commission-based concern 32:14 81:3 38:13 39:5 52:14 34:12 64:23 53:24 54:4.7.12.15 client committee concluded 64:2,3,22 54:19 95:11 100:3 104:2,6 106:14 charges clients committing concludes 46:23 49:19 35:17 53:23 9:16 20:17 105:14 charging communicated close conclusion 22:4,15 23:6,12 73:1 96:25 97:3,5 26:21 45:24 47:2 48:1 27:18 28:11 36:10 101:15 communicating 88:24 50:18 51:3,4,9,12 closer 27:14 conducted 51:16 91:9 53:13 71:15 communication 57:10 27:23 100:16 chart collapse conducting 79:16 78:19 communications 17:7 confirm charts college 25:17 13:5 35:9 companies 85:6 check Colombia 17:19 53:15 confused 41:10 44:16 88:1,3 71:12 10:21 company 88:15 7:5 13:2 15:19 17:3 **Columbus** confusing checked 3:4 35:8 46:6 49:15,19 49:4 32:13 combined 72:7 74:8 79:10 connect checks 76:12 87:15 91:3 56:13 59:10,14 87:23 88:13 come compare connected 88:14 93:22 28:25 35:13 52:20 22:4 23:1 52:12 33:9 67:4 chief 54:16 61:22 62:5 53:22 connection 26:6 82:6,9 83:18 82:2 84:22 88:24 9:14 12:18 13:24 compared chose comes 22:18 54:16 56:11,25 59:15 80:2 34:13 81:16 66:12 consolidated compares Christina comfortable 54:19 16:19 12:2 67:9,13 comparison constant circle coming 54:6 27:23 28:5 96:24 97:2 59:10 63:19 80:22 compensation Construction



73:4,12 29:3 73:2 47:16 61:16,23 62:8,11 consulting corruption debt crimes 62:24 88:10 33:16 17:16 18:18 20:2,6 cont cost criminal 72:4 18:5 102:21 81:6 82:24 87:9 debtor 16:12,14 37:11 47:16 contact Coun criminals 28:5,6 55:18 26:17 87:5,7 57:10 63:22 64:3,22 contained counsel 74:16 75:19 79:11 current 3:5,11 7:14 9:9 12:24 17:16 18:18 35:2 80:11 90:1 94:7,9 25:7 42:1,1 21:1 24:23 25:6,6 72:4 76:2 77:16 content debtors 50:9 95:17 1:5 73:25 25:10,17 27:6,13,14 continue 29:20,22,24 36:2 currently debtor's 80:11 55:18,19 56:8 60:2 94:7 19:20 47:14 77:20,20 Continued 60:18 62:17 65:11 customary 79:18 80:8,18,19 65:20 99:13 102:5 5:1 23:11 debts C-A-R-D contribution 105:2 107:15,18 17:23 18:1 19:3,11 72:10 73:18 Counsel's 87:9.11.14 88:6.22 52:1 26:17 decision 90:2 D 71:2 count contributions D 17:13,18 18:16 72:3 43:15 declaration 4:2 72:6 75:4,9,12 country 4:11 24:19,20,24 **Daily** 85:12,23 86:1,16 71:8,12,13 25:1,8 28:13,25 4:21 87:6 89:21 couple 30:17,23 31:3,4,6 data controller 12:2 15:6 26:22 73:3 31:24,24 34:20 16:20,22 77:6,6 35:8 75:15 101:17 65:25 83:4,8,23 date conversation coupled 84:6 85:18,18 13:7,7 15:12,16 29:9 46:8 deep 26:15 31:25 39:2 conversations 56:20 course 42:25 46:18 47:17 defendant 88:20 36:14 72:8,9 75:7 93:16 conveyance 10:3 court 100:16 103:15 49:16 1:1 2:5,6 7:12 8:1,3,9 defendants 107:12,23 convicting 28:15 43:12 46:19 1:10 3:11 7:18 dates 49:6 79:2,6 96:9 105:16 definitely 40:23 COO 105:20 106:2,7 30:24 day delete 90:8,10 cover 17:12,12 18:20 76:11 cooperating 38:12 71:2 76:11 97:14 106:1 deliver 105:4 co-mingled days 19:20,22 79:20,21 copy 91:17 52:5 69:7 80:8,10 demonstrate deal co-mingling 81:3 cor 54:25 13:5 73:20 departure dealing 72:2 correct created 16:25 20:9 19:22 31:12 34:25 102:9,11 depending deals 45:11 50:10 77:18 creating 37:1 58:3 88:11 79:13 85:24 74:17 depends dealt correctly credibility 57:25 96:18



			rage o
denless d	52.17	56.15 17 24 57.20	21.7
deployed	53:17	56:15,17,24 57:20	21:7
33:10	discovered	74:18 81:25 85:11	
deposit	89:19 96:23	doing	E
73:9	discovery	17:3 25:3 56:20	4:2,8
deposition	21:5 75:18	59:19 60:6,16,22	earlier
1:13 2:2,4 5:6 6:2 7:4	discuss	68:17 78:17 86:3,4	56:4
7:8 9:14,18,22	30:17 87:1	86:6,24 88:19 93:6	
11:15,18 40:7 42:20	discussed	100:12 101:5	early
82:18,21 83:12	32:3,6 62:6	dollar	20:3 41:7,8 60:4 72:13
104:14,17 105:15	discussion	47:20 49:15,17 73:4	
106:14	9:5	94:11 95:9	earned
DESCRIPTION	disguised	dollars	99:18,19
4:10 5:2	87:6	17:21 36:11 44:10	easy
desperate	disguising	45:5,14 73:19 81:1	101:8,9
19:15	85:14	88:2 95:5,7,14	Ed
detail	dispute	101:2 103:4,22	8:10 13:23 20:18
37:13	42:4	104:4	21:3
determine	disputes	donated	edit
21:16 22:1 76:9 83:7	96:4	88:2	71:3
determining	DISTRICT	door	edited
76:7	1:1	47:20	85:18
difference	distrust	Dora	editorialize
34:11 45:8 71:25	40:6	3:11 9:16 93:18	98:19
103:11	dive	doubt	Edmond
different	56:20	49:3	3:8 7:17 9:12
49:4 54:11 78:24	diverted	dozens	Edmond.george@
96:1	78:2	43:14,16,20	3:10
diligence	doc	drafted	effect
81:10	70:7	25:1 66:20 83:9	39:21 74:3
Dillman	docket	drafts	effective
3:11 9:16 93:19	96:12	24:18	1:9 3:11 4:16,19 7:6
diner	document	drop	9:17 22:9,22 23:2,6
26:16	11:15 13:8,17 24:7	75:15	24:2,4 27:18 28:11
direct	24:15 39:5,10,11	dropping	29:2 31:9 40:20
44:21 75:9,11	66:3 71:22 102:8,9	93:22	42:1 44:4,17 45:3,8
Direction	documentation	drops	45:13,18 51:8 52:13
6:5	58:8,13 59:3 79:10	75:8	53:23,24 54:10,21
directly	documents	drugs	58:10,15,20 59:4,11
27:14 72:6	6:11 11:21 12:4,6,8	11:8	59:17 66:21 93:20
director	12:17,21 13:19,23	due	99:18,21 102:15
92:24	14:2,15,16 17:25	19:3,12 75:20,24,25	effort
disagreement	20:16,17,20,21,25	duly	11:20
13:20	20:25 21:1,4,15,21	107:7	efforts
disclose	21:25 25:14 34:17	duplicate	22:3
37:22 45:25 54:24	34:22,24 40:19 51:8	21:6	eight
discount	51:11,15 56:6,9,10	duplicative	43:19 96:5 101:20
discount	51.11,15 50.0,5,10	aupiicative	



eight-minute
101:15
either
11:10 44:4 58:9,10
58:14 59:11 61:22
67:4,5 71:2
eleven
43:22
eliminate
66:7 69:6,8,21
else's
65:3
employee
100:17,22,23 107:15
107:17
employees
88:13 90:2,6 95:20
96:14,25
empty
38:10
ended
31:3
endorser
67:13,15,17
engagement
95:21
enterprise
16:17 77:14
<b>Enterprises</b> 37:10 39:4,13
enticed
81:5
enticement
78:11
entire
24:6
entity
79:23,25
entries
38:5
equity
78:17
equivalent
53:7
Erica
2.2 7.20

3:3 7:20

<b>ESQUIRE</b> 3:3,3,8,8,9 <b>essentially</b>
13:5 52:19 establish 96:23 estate
58:21 78:6 94:6,13 95:8 96:2,17 estimated
94:14 95:6,7 et 1:4,9 7:5 etcetera
72:7 ethics 37:5
everybody 30:13 40:6 106:5 evidence
17:6 22:21 58:18 66:18 71:6 74:15 85:21,22 87:15 88:20 93:18
exact 27:10 69:24 92:22 examination 2:3 101:16 107:6
examiner 96:9 example
13:23 53:12 69:17 71:7 101:4 exceeded
66:14,16 exchange 61:2 Excuse
13:14 excused 106:12
Exhibit 65:25 68:4 Exhibits
5:1

5:8
Exhibits-7-8
5:8
Exhibit-1
4:11
Exhibit-10
4:23
Exhibit-11
4:24
Exhibit-12
4:25 E-1:1:4 12
Exhibit-13
5:3 Exhibit-14
5:4,8
Exhibit-15
5:5
Exhibit-16
5:6 11:14
Exhibit-2
4:12
Exhibit-3
4:13
Exhibit-4
4:14
Exhibit-5
4:15 5:8
Exhibit-6
4:16
<b>Exhibit-7</b> 4:17
Exhibit-8
4:19
Exhibit-9
4:21
existed
52:14
expedite
105:19
expenses
45:15 78:3
experience
35:11 48:20
expert
34:15 62:21,23,24
expertise

34:1 **Expires** 107:22 explain 16:2,3 explanation 22:16 extent 62:16 extract 16:21 extracted 17:13 extravagant 78:3 eyes 71:16 87:10,12,13 e-mail 4:12,13,14 5:3,4 55:23 56:1 67:20 68:14 69:3 82:1,4 90:19 e-mails 25:5,9,11,15,16 55:25 69:15,17,20 81:19,20,22,23 82:12 84:12 85:6 90:22 fabricating 74:17 face 23:21,23

## fabricating 74:17 face 23:21,23 fact 46:3,8,8 62:8 64:25 66:14 99:9 100:18 factors 20:7 facts 25:7 27:17 28:2 40:7 40:10,12 66:19 74:15 83:7 89:10,17 96:22 factually 85:6 98:22



Exhibits-10-12

failed file 81:5 floor 78:6 96:15 53:7 fraudulent fair filed Florida 16:17 17:1 20:9 48:3 34:19 37:3 60:19 16:12 24:19,24 31:23 48:8,9 49:16 77:14 86:2 fake 31:24 flowthroughs fraudulently 73:25 filing 79:23 74:17 false 15:9,12,16,19,22 focus frequencies 16:25 23:7 46:5 86:20 102:12 42:2 49:22,25 50:2,2 filled folder frequency 77:11 83:5 104:22 94:11 24:22 37:14 40:24 105:1 filming follow-up **Friday** family 93:2 98:15 1:15 7:7 9:17 58:15 71:9 final force front far 105:19,22,25 33:16 42:13 96:20 25:3 54:12,15 63:7 finances foregoing full 77:3 86:24 91:11 12:19 13:25 14:3,6 107:9 25:18 53:8,14 72:11 95:12 financial forget function faulting 13:2 16:19,25 20:24 92:22 66:14 81:12 34:22,24 73:23 74:3 form fund FBI 74:17 77:8 104:1,6 28:21 36:23 42:11 78:12 32:11 33:2,3,4 35:4 financially 73:12 83:24 84:9,14 funds 107:18 93:3 104:15,23 17:17 19:15 76:3,3 35:10 40:5 48:11,20 61:13 82:24 85:9 find formal 80:6 81:2 97:24 40:2 60:22 79:2 67:10 further **February** 97:13 former 105:4 107:9,14 33:5 46:15 107:22 finding 26:6 33:2 furtherance 47:8 fed forth 46:12,19,21 48:7 14:8 43:18 17:19 25:4 44:6 73:7 fine  $\mathbf{G}$ 73:8.16 77:11 federal 84:1.15 89:15 106:9 game 43:18 finish 100:14 107:12 53:8,9 99:14,16 fee 18:6,13 36:3 64:12 forward 64:13 generator 22:20 23:24 37:17,18 46:12 72:21 36:12 38:15 43:25 54:21 firm found George 59:2,12 96:7,9 9:12 15:9 81:18 74:13,14 97:11 3:8 4:5 7:17,17 8:12 feel 82:11 four 8:16,19,22 9:2,10 101:12 first 12:22 69:6 82:16,20 9:12 12:14 13:10,14 feeling 14:15 25:19 26:13 Fox 14:4,10,13,14 18:8 61:15,22 62:7,10 31:13,17,19 52:4 44:20 18:10,19 19:1,17 61:24 62:1 64:12 fee-based fraud 20:11,14 21:8,11,14 34:12 74:13 81:7 36:18,21 45:22 46:21 25:20,24 28:8 31:18 fee-for-service five 47:23 48:23 49:20 32:22,24 36:5,24 44:7 74:23 50:13,23 51:5 73:20 fictitious five-minute 93:14 38:4,6,21,23 40:9 40:18 42:14,16 46:1 74:5 65:12 frauds 47:3 48:5 50:6,17 fifteen flight 48:21 50:19 55:8,20,22 40:25 53:14,18 fraudsters



50.24 60.12 62.10	74.20 70.24 25	61:6 85:1	hire
58:24 60:13 62:18	74:20 79:24,25 81:20 82:1 90:18		
62:22 63:4,5 64:15		guys	35:13 101:3
64:21 65:13,21,23	96:12 100:14 101:5	83:22	historic
68:4,6,11,13,25	102:8	H	77:5
69:1,25 70:2,14,15	goes	$\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{H}}$	historical
70:23,25 71:14,19	75:14		16:21 77:6
71:20 79:4,7,8 84:1	going	4:8	hit
84:3,10,15,17,22,25	8:19 9:3,13,17,19	half	90:9,12
86:19 88:8 89:4,7	10:5,6,14 11:11,13	23:13 91:13	hold
89:15,16,24 92:16	15:2,21 24:8 30:17	hand	28:15 68:1,3,12
93:4 97:12,23 98:8	32:22 35:10 42:13	8:4 17:23	80:17 95:9
98:10,16,18 99:4,8	42:17 47:19 49:18	happen	hole
100:1 101:20 102:6	62:1 64:9,11,12	91:19	94:11
104:18 105:3,10,24	65:15,24 73:21	happened	homes
106:5,9	74:21 77:3 86:23,25	13:16 32:16,17,19	35:8
getting	86:25 94:15,18	62:3 83:25 93:17	honest
36:15 70:5 86:4	96:19 98:21 101:23	94:5	61:6
87:17 89:9 101:15	105:11	happening	hope
gild	good	64:1	53:9
61:14	7:19 9:11 73:25	happy	hour
girlfriend	78:10 85:21	14:1 16:2 24:16	35:18 53:9
97:19	gotten	hard	house
give	100:10	40:3	35:14
8:6 13:18 27:9 35:15	Grabato	head	houses
49:12,12 62:2,2	58:11,16	24:13 37:24 54:13	78:4
69:14	grand	76:23 90:16	hundred
given	43:20 100:17	hear	57:4
77:4,5 88:14	graph	94:20,23	hundreds
giving	72:1	heard	14:1 56:5,8
69:14 87:16	great	10:14 39:22,24 51:21	Hybrid
Glenn	8:9 11:5 27:2	52:6,24 53:18 81:14	21:24 22:8,8,10,14
29:21 61:5,7,8,9	greed	95:1	22:19,22 23:10
81:19 88:1,16,24	81:16	held	41:22 54:3 101:6
97:5,7	green	9:5 33:25	hypothetical
Glenn's	70:17	helpful	50:16,24
81:20	groups	98:25	30.10,24
go	96:1	helping	I
9:1,3 11:15 14:22	guarantee	49:21 93:8,14	Ice
18:12 20:7 22:13,25	67:9	hereinbefore	3:2 7:20
25:22 27:8 30:17	guaranteed	107:12	idea
33:11 38:1,21 42:14	78:10	hey	43:13 92:9
43:6,17 44:24 46:2	guess	63:23 70:16	iHeart
55:19 61:25 64:8,22	13:20	higher	44:19
66:4 67:25 68:4,11	guilty	30:12,15,20 32:15	illegal
68:15,20,25 69:25	46:4	Hippel	86:10 87:9,11,20
70:14,23,24 71:14		3:7 7:10 9:13	89:20
/0.17,23,24 /1.14	guy	5.7 7.10 7.13	07.20
1			



imperfect 17:1 20:9 important 10:11,19,22,25 57:14 57:17 58:2 inability 72:10 inaccurate 77:10 inappropriate 62:19,20 inarticulate 10:10 include 17:18 31:8 104:6 included 22:20 including 64:2 75:3 inclusion 28:13 increase 74:2 increasing 77:11 independent 41:3 **INDEX** 6:2 indicate 64:23 74:16 89:19 92:4,8 indicated 58:9 indication 38:8,18 75:19 indications 20:1 indicted 43:18 indifferent 85:21 indirect 17:18 individual 56:24 87:17 88:12,14

88:22 industries 52:7,18 industry 43:25 52:10 53:13 inexplicable 73:16 inflate 74:4 influence 11:8 information 13:6 28:10,24 42:10 42:22 62:3 67:6,15 inherently 46:9,10 inner 96:24 97:2 insider 58:5 insolvency 13:11,16 15:7,11,15 15:18 16:10 17:3 19:23,25 20:5,8 71:25 72:11 insolvent 14:16 16:13,14 18:21 46:9.10 72:12 institutions 73:23 instruct 45:24 62:17 63:3 86:18 89:1.2 instruction 89:23 intent 47:5,12 48:10,24 intention 46:22 50:23 inter

85:17

31:8

interactions

interested

107:18

internal

35:7 93:6 interrogate 33:14 interrogator 33:12 interrupt 14:22 44:24 interview 26:16 28:25 31:6 59:23 60:3 62:1 interviewed 83:10 85:17 92:20 introduced 5:8 investigation 12:19 13:25 62:25 63:2 83:6 89:10.19 investigations 96:4 investigator 26:3,8 61:3 investing 77:23 investment 1:4 4:20 78:4 80:2 investor 17:13,17 18:4,16 19:14.18 63:19 72:3 72:5 75:3 76:2,3,16 80:14,22 95:5 investors 17:18 18:17 46:6 68:21 77:23 79:12 80:7,18 82:21 87:6 95:10 investor's 82:14 invoice 23:22,24 24:10,11 37:18 41:5,9,22 51:19 95:17 invoices 5:5 21:24 23:17 30:12 41:2,12,13,14 41:14,17,18,20,23

103:14 104:10 involved 17:4 27:12,15 48:12 48:15,20 49:7,21 62:13 81:14 89:11 93:19 in-house 92:23 Iraq 33:10,12 issue 30:1 issued 60:5 71:8 issuing 60:21 items 77:12 J January 4:21 17:22 31:21 32:3 41:8 42:9 72:8 83:16 Javier 3:11,11 4:17,19 7:24 9:16 20:22 23:2 31:9 39:18 40:20 41:9 44:4 45:18 51:8 52:13 53:24 54:21 58:9,14,19 59:3,11,16,19 66:20 67:1 68:17 70:19 91:2,5,7,9,13 96:23 101:5 102:23 Javier's

93:21

**Jersey** 

**JKS** 

1:2

42:8,18 59:15 103:7

Jennifer

107:3.21

107:22

1:17 2:6 7:12 106:10

35:9 90:9,12 107:5

1:1 2:7 3:10 26:6



			rage ii
job	kindly	29:4,11,21,25 30:1	lead
33:14,21	11:4	31:11 61:5,7,8,10	46:16
John	knew	61:18 63:7 81:19	leader
3:14 7:11 8:20	47:18 63:7 78:18	83:4,7,10 88:1,24	46:16
		89:20 90:5 97:5,7	leads
<b>joint</b> 27:9	87:16,19,19,21	97:18 99:5 104:12	85:13
	88:17,25	97.18 99.3 104.12 labor	
Jr 3:12	know		leaks
	12:3 13:15,15,16	35:16,17 36:14	58:5
July 104:11	14:9 18:4,11 19:13	largest	learn 52.4.00.12
	21:2 23:15 25:10,25	90:8,11	52:4 99:12
jumbled	26:2,8,11,14 27:6,6	Lasley	learned
10:9	28:4,4,5 29:2,5,8,14	3:3 7:19,20 8:10,15	34:17 43:24
jump	30:24 34:11 35:19	8:18,21 12:11,23,24	leaves
36:3	35:22 36:15 37:24	13:13,22 14:5,11	95:9
jumping	40:5,19,24 41:4,19	18:6,12,22 19:8	left
90:23	41:22 42:12 43:15	21:3,10,13 25:18	85:4
juncture	43:16,17 44:12,16	28:1,15,21 31:14	leftover
87:21	44:20 47:11 49:3,19	36:2,23 40:8,13,17	53:5
June	53:2,6,12 54:13	42:11 45:23 47:1,25	legal
4:22	55:5 56:1,14,15,20	50:5,15 55:3,17	1:21 7:11,12 45:24
jury	57:1,14 58:4,6	58:22 60:10 62:15	47:2 48:1 49:4
43:20	59:22 60:11,24 61:4	62:20,23 64:11	legitimacy
K	61:11,12 63:18,21	65:11,14 83:24 84:8	64:6
	65:7,9 66:12 76:23	84:14,20 86:17 88:4	legitimate
Kana	77:15 78:14 80:20	89:1,6,9,22 92:15	80:23
92:17 93:25	81:4,11,13,13,18,22	93:3 97:10,21 98:6	length
Katey	81:23,24 82:4 83:14	98:9,12,17 99:7,22	27:2 54:25
92:17 93:24	83:18 84:18 86:11	101:19 104:15,23	let's
keep	86:13 87:1,7,10,20	105:9,16,18,22,25	35:25 36:6 38:1 66:3
19:6 78:12,17 94:21	88:9,20,21 89:17,20	106:4	67:25,25,25 68:4
Keith	90:15,17 92:17,19	latest	69:25 90:18
12:2	93:1,5,6,8,16 94:5	104:9	level
kept	95:1,11,23 96:3,18	Laurel	43:18
103:24	97:7,25,25 99:9,10	3:10	levels
key	104:8,21	law	56:19
82:1	knowing	9:12	leverage
kicker	63:21	lawsuit	56:21
78:15	knowledge	10:3 15:9,13,16,20	License
kicking	28:23 31:8 32:5	15:22 16:11 86:21	107:21
58:10	48:24 93:15	87:5	lied
kidnapping	known	lawyer	91:21
33:15	80:25	32:25 42:20 49:5	life
kind 25.1.42.10.52.6.76.7		lawyers	35:11
35:1 42:10 53:6 76:7	La	82:16,20	lily
79:9 81:10 83:7	26:11 27:24 28:12	LAWYER'S	61:14
97:8	20.11 27.24 28:12	108:1	limit
	-	-	-



41:4 70:8 66:15,17 88:12 27:18 28:11 29:12 limits looted 30:1.20 32:3.7.8 media 88:6,11,13 78:2 35:12,14,16 36:21 1:9 3:11 4:16,19 7:6 40:2 line loss 9:17 20:23 21:24 6:6,6,6,12,12,12,17 78:9 103:3 markups 22:4,7,8,8,9,10,14 6:17,17,22,22,22 lot 34:17 22:22 23:2,6,10 68:18 69:10 70:17 43:13,16 61:25 62:2 massive 24:2,3 27:18 28:11 70:20 72:15 74:21 63:14 64:1 73:6,16 16:23 29:2 31:8 40:20 73:20 86:23 101:13 75:3,4 108:1 42:1 44:4,17 45:3,7 match 73:24 lines 45:13,18 51:8 52:13 lots 68:22,23 103:15 25:9 material 53:23 54:3,10,21 Liquidation lying 103:16,17 58:9,14,20 59:3,11 1:6,7,7 7:5,22 9:15 50:17,21 81:24 85:4 59:16 66:20 92:24 matter list 2:4 7:4 9:14 26:4,23 93:20 99:18,20 M 44:18 45:1 26:24,25 47:18 101:6,24 102:3,15 M listed 56:11,12,13 62:7 105:13,14 3:8 Media's 23:21 matters Magna 87:12 21:16 22:19 litigation 1:21 7:11,12 89:11,12 Mattina medication main 26:11 27:24 28:12 11:9 little 76:13,14 20:18 68:12,15 71:15 29:4,11,21,25 30:1 meet major 74:22 78:24 94:21 17:16,23 18:17 20:2 31:11 61:5,7,8,10 33:15 LLC 61:18 63:7 83:4,7 26:13 72:4 76:1,17 majority 1:4,6,9 3:11 7:5,6 83:10 88:1,24 89:20 meeting 59:7 80:6 LLP 90:5 97:5,7,18 99:5 27:6,9,12 29:11 making 3:2,7 7:10 104:12 30:16 31:2,5 32:2 37:20 46:7 71:2 75:6 Mattina's 61:15,17,18,20,25 loans 85:23,25 100:17 81:19 62:5 83:21 73:8,12,15 March meetings long Maute 1:15 7:7 107:23 70:7 98:2 61:9,22 1:17 2:6 7:12 107:3 margin look 107:21 members 55:6 100:2 8:22 12:4,9 25:12 Maxwell 58:15 71:9 margins 41:5 84:19,24 85:1 3:7 7:10 9:13 memory 54:25 85:5,16 103:13 **MDs** 42:13 marked looked 16:18 men 6:21 35:21 12:6,7,9 17:11,12 mean 31:2 market 22:1,7,10,14,15,18 13:19.22 14:22 22:8 mentioned 22:5 23:3,9 22:19 23:5,9,11,17 24:2 40:23 44:24 29:12 31:2 56:4 markets 45:4 48:2 87:25 104:5 44:2 54:2,6 74:1 69:5 92:12 94:9 97:14 81:23 82:11 85:8,11 merely marks 85:13,15 90:22 103:4 34:21 67:14 101:24 102:3 105:12 meretricious looking means 54:11 80:24 81:9 105:14 9:18 13:17 97:8 markup 82:23,25 83:2 meant message 21:16 22:1,15,16,17 103:18 69:14 35:5 22:19,20 23:13,16 looks met measurements



			<u>-</u>
8:16 26:12,15	97:25	N	70:19 81:6 86:3,6
Michael	mobile	$\frac{1}{N}$	97:3,4,5 101:3
3:8 8:13 101:4,17	55:13	4:2 98:3	nine
middle	Monday		30:11,19,19,24 32:5
72:15 74:23	105:24 106:1	name 9:11 82:2	32:8,14 40:25 43:8
middleman	money		Notary
100:16	19:5,16,19,20 45:17	names 42:25	2:6 107:3,22
military	46:8 48:4 58:10,15	narrate	notes
33:9,12	58:19,20,25 59:4,6	98:19	32:13,14,14,20,23
Miller	59:8,20 63:19 71:7	Natalia	108:1
3:2 7:20	71:11 73:6,11,16	3:12	Notice
million	74:10,10 77:19,20	National	5:6 11:14,18
17:21 44:10 45:4,5,7	77:24,24 78:15	1:4	noticed
45:14,16 73:19	79:11,17,18,24	necessarily	73:23
74:24,24 75:11 81:1	80:14,17,18,23	19:7 48:10 80:2	Nov
90:15 94:11,15,16	81:16 82:14,22 87:5	84:16	75:20
94:17 95:4,7,9,13	95:15 99:18 100:6	need	November
95:14 102:17,19	103:12,19	16:22 20:10 55:9	17:15 72:2 75:20,25
103:4,5,22 104:4,8	monies	67:10 69:6 98:6	76:4,5,22 77:17
millions	59:10 80:8,10,11	101:16 105:16	NRIA
81:25 101:2	86:22	needed	4:21 12:19 14:15
mind	month	22:2 66:7,20 79:22	17:7 19:2 22:17
79:4	27:1	needs	23:3,23 24:1 26:24
mine	monthly	19:12 74:9 94:11	27:19 31:7 39:5,9
31:25	95:18	negatively	39:14 40:19,21
minute	months	10:23	41:11 42:1 44:5,9
53:5,20	43:23	neither	45:21 52:13,14
minutes	morning	102:23 107:14,17	53:24 54:7,9 56:12
101:17,20	7:19 9:11	net	56:12,13 59:5,6,10
mischaracterizes	mortgages	17:13	59:17,18 62:3 63:8
12:12 18:23 28:2	77:1,5	never	66:6 67:15 70:11
31:14 83:25 84:20	motivations	34:9 39:24 63:23	72:3 76:17,21 80:1
mislead	97:25	79:4,19 85:20 90:21	86:4,7 87:19 88:13
51:4	Mount	new	91:21 92:21 93:19
misleading	3:10	1:1 2:7 3:10 18:16	94:6 96:24 100:13
23:7 46:5 49:22 50:2	move	19:5 24:21 26:6	100:15,17 102:15
91:21	17:21 25:21 40:8,13	35:9 72:3 76:3 90:9	103:4,22
misrepresented	64:9 71:7,11	90:12 107:4,22	NRIA's
51:15	moved	Newark	13:25
misrepresenting	73:6	8:17	number
51:9,12	moves	Nicholas	4:10 5:2 7:3 11:14
missions	72:8	68:18	13:23 20:15,20
33:13	M-17	Nick	25:12,18 39:17
misstating	75:7	46:3,3 58:11 63:23	55:14 65:25 68:22
56:7	M-9	67:19 69:9,10 70:16	69:25 70:3,23 71:15
MO	75:6		74:22 90:18 101:24
	1	I	I



44:7 102:3,8,9 104:8 26:17 86:14 103:14,18,19 104:5 105:13,14 officer opposite panned numbers 82:7,10 83:19 53:19 85:15 Oral 25:4 73:24 oh papers Number-2 24:3 31:23 47:7,11 2:3 90:14 50:7 64:3 79:4,21 Paragraph 68:5 orally 10:20 20:12 66:2 nursing 87:12 100:25 101:8 35:8 103:24 order part Ohio 67:12 74:9 32:10 33:21 73:8 0 3:4 organizer 79:18 81:15 96:24 Ob 46:16 okav 97:1 99:17 62:15 8:3,15 9:1 11:17 original particular **Obermayer** 14:10 16:24 18:8 66:19,25 67:2 79:3 23:4 26:23 66:13 3:7 7:9 9:12 19:2 20:11 21:10,15 originals 67:13 79:25 91:11 object 22:3 24:6,14 25:2 74:6 91:14 28:21 36:23 40:14 29:7 36:8,17 37:3 originated particularly 42:11 55:18 83:24 40:4,7 42:14 43:11 59:5 97:6 84:8,14 93:3 104:23 45:9 46:25 48:6,19 outset parties objection 49:2,24 51:6,21 7:14 54:24 72:7 46:10 12:11 18:22 28:1 52:12,17 53:22 54:5 outside 107:16 36:2 45:23 47:1,25 60:24 62:13 63:4 29:5 33:23,24 47:2 partner 50:15 55:3 60:10 65:2,7,10,11 66:1 48:1 55:3 91:14 8:16 101:4 62:15 84:8 86:17 66:18,24 67:19 97:1 99:22 **Pass** 89:1,2,22 97:10,21 69:10,12,25 71:10 67:17 overall 99:22 104:15 71:14,19 74:20 53:22,23 54:2 62:25 passed obligation 75:10 76:7,19 78:22 39:9 89:11 76:21 79:6 82:9 89:15 overcharging passing obligations 96:6 99:24 100:9 59:17 67:14 17:16 18:18 20:2 101:12,22 102:12 owned patently 72:5 76:2,8,17,21 105:3,20 35:8 94:6 83:5 77:16 once pattern P obviously 19:18,18 26:15,16 78:6 33:24 page 80:18 **Paulina** occasions 4:5,10 5:2 6:6,6,6,12 ones 3:12 39:18 6:12,12,17,17,17,22 74:13 pay October 6:22,22 25:22 38:22 ongoing 17:23 18:3,15 36:10 23:8 24:5 38:24 68:19 108:1 14:25 20:1 73:8 77:3 53:8,21 72:10 75:24 offer pages 87:1,3 76:8,22 80:11 52:23 14:2 operating 102:15 paid offering 76:13,14 82:6,10 paying 39:19 78:9 22:21 23:19 36:13,13 19:3,11 36:15 39:13 83:18 offerings 36:18,21 39:4,8 operations 63:22,24 64:4,24 41:21 45:3,14 49:18 80:24 33:21 74:10 65:1,3,8 73:18 offhand 59:1 63:8,19 79:12 opinions 75:19,22,23 85:13 26:15 95:14,16,18 100:6 40:12 101:1 office 102:25 103:1,5,12 opposed payment



37:11	78:16	62:13 78:20 82:10	22:4 23:1 52:13
payments	phone	83:15,19 85:2 90:8	prior
22:21 41:24 71:9	55:11,14,15 101:6,10	90:11	15:9,12,16,19,19,21
86:9	physical	Ponzis	16:11 51:6,11,14
peeled	97:15	46:8	60:21 76:6 107:5
76:16	pick		privilege
	101:9	popped 82:3	45:25
pending 87:4			
	picking	portion	privileged
people	93:22	28:18 64:18	25:16
29:18 43:17 45:17,20	piece	pose	PRO
47:22 49:20 50:8	76:16	11:11	1:2
52:24 54:9 64:2	place	position	probably
78:12,17 81:2,11	59:4 107:12	59:16 74:2 75:5,7	27:8 45:16 53:11
85:19 87:16 88:15	places	76:11 77:12 80:21	57:5 94:14 95:13
96:5,8 101:13	53:15	85:9 92:21	96:18 100:21 101:7
percent	Plaintiff	possession	Procedure
22:17 29:3 30:20	1:8 3:5 7:21	83:8	2:5
32:19 38:15,16	plane	possibility	proceed
39:20,25 40:2 44:17	53:14,16	28:9	8:9 9:9 65:20 102:5
50:12,13,22 54:3	play	possible	proceeding
67:9 69:15,22 78:11	81:17	27:16	9:19
91:4,6 100:3,5	playing	Possibly	process
percentage	99:15	92:25	16:6,8 20:8 56:6 87:2
37:19	plea	potentially	87:3 94:8 98:14
performed	46:11	32:17	produce
93:24	please	Practice	12:17 13:3 14:16
performing	7:15 8:1,4 18:6,13	2:5	20:12,15,23 21:9,19
94:3	19:8 28:15,16 68:12	preclude	25:14,16 32:23
period	99:25	28:9	41:11
17:14 67:6 76:22	pled	prepared	produced
80:12	46:4	92:1	12:10 13:4 14:18
periodicals	plumber	present	21:4,6,7,12 41:9
43:25	35:13 36:9	3:14 7:14,25 30:2	42:19
perpetrated	plus	91:17 104:16	product
36:22	29:3	presented	37:2 45:25 62:16
person	point	37:10	63:2 86:17 89:2,4
34:1 46:23	27:21 46:11 82:8	Presently	89:12
personal	96:19 99:10	99:7	production
104:20	politician		6:11 42:8
	1 <del>-</del>	presumed	proffered
personally 11:20 62:5	87:16,20 88:14,21	47:5,13	75:18
	politicians	pretty	
pertinent	86:1,2,9,15,21	73:25	Profile
28:18 64:18	Ponzi	price	4:15
<b>ph</b>	17:4,7 19:4 46:4,9,17	23:3 35:21 39:19	profitable
33:13	46:17,23 47:8,15,17	52:19	46:7
phantom	47:19,21 48:12	prices	profiting
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1



49:7 purchasing R reason profits 52:24,25 54:10 42:4 66:13 84:23 radar 78:8 purported 85:1,5 91:16,18 98:2 project 46:6 59:19 73:15 97:17 radio 54:12 78:5,5 80:20 100:7 reasonable 4:24.25 34:8 38:13 49:3 59:21 projected purporting 38:13 44:19 95:8 78:7 reasons raise promoting 30:6,9 73:17 77:10 purpose 8:3 57:16 Rebmann 49:21 ran prompted 3:7 7:10 9:13 pursuant 33:15 37:23,23 38:8 67:4 71:3 2:4 13:9 recall 38:19,25 39:1 43:3 proof 11:10 24:12 29:3,14 put 43:10 45:20 50:8 73:19 16:22 19:19 23:23 32:9 37:16 38:15 range 28:24 30:13 31:6 properties 41:22 44:8 90:25 39:2 42:25 95:6 92:14 94:4 20:6 78:8 42:12 78:14,15 rate property 83:23 84:2,5 receivable 22:5 35:17 38:9 103:24 77:4 42:23 51:22.24.25 101:25 102:4 105:12 received prosecuted 52:5,7,8,11,14,18 106:15 20:21 49:16 48:22 52:22,23 53:23 91:4 prosecuting recollect 91:14 0 82:24 29:10 rates QC prosecution recollection 38:9 52:3 53:8,24 25:4 48:12,15,21 49:1 104:9 54:19 quantity provide reconstruct Ray 38:9 42:22 24:18 27:17 41:16 16:22 58:10 63:23 question 100:8 reconstructing reach 6:21 10:14,15 16:11 provided 77:7 55:10 60:6,23 61:3 16:16 18:7,13 19:10 12:22 15:1 20:17 record reached 19:12 25:13 28:16 7:2 9:1,4,6,7 13:2 21:1 23:7 24:20 60:14,21,25 30:3 36:13 38:18 25:6 28:12 40:20,21 28:19 55:17 64:19 read 40:15,17 42:18 43:3 41:3,7,17 54:1,20 65:16,18 70:17 92:1 25:18 28:16,19 31:4 43:9 45:12 49:5,9 59:13,15 83:4 98:21,25 101:23 37:4 58:23 64:15,19 58:23 59:7 62:4,12 provider 102:3 105:12 78:25 63:9,15 64:15,16 59:12 recorded reader 69:18 78:23,25 79:3 9:20 11:3 providing 10:22 98:7,10,14,20,23 28:24 37:2 39:14 records real 99:2 53:25 58:15 70:11 17:2 20:10,12 21:23 78:6 80:23 94:6,13 questionable 99:19 recover 95:8 96:1,17 75:16 proximity 11:21 86:15,21 really questioned 53:18 red 22:2 50:18 58:4 80:4 24:4 64:5 public 75:4 80:5 questions 2:7 96:7 107:3,22 redeemed Realty 10:7,23 11:10 43:8 pull 78:18 1:4 61:10 63:1 83:11 12:8 redemptions rearrange quite purchases 17:14 8:24 15:23 59:1.9 reference



75:6 33:3,5 86:23 87:13 88:10 replacement 90:3,6,9,12 94:14 referring 69:5 return 50:7 REPORTED 69:15 82:15 96:1,11 97:18,20,25 98:1 99:6,20 101:21 reflect 1:17 returns 14:2 78:10 102:24 reporter reflects 2:6 7:12 8:1,3,9 Roll reveal 38:4 103:21 10:21 28:16 79:1,2 89:18 refute 79:6 95:1 105:16,20 revenue rolled 104:9 106:2,7 107:4 78:15 80:21 regarding represent review rolling 64:6 85:11 89:10 7:16 21:3 42:19 37:9 42:8 56:17,18 78:16 reimbursed represented 56:18,25 82:4 rolls 86:5 87:17,23 88:16 60:2,7,8,12,17 reviewed 103:15 relate request 12:18,22 13:1,6,24 room 20:22 6:11 7:9 11:16 67:5 33:21 34:16 56:5,11 8:13 29:16,19,25 related 71:3 84:11 102:11 rotation reviewing 69:5 45:15 require 48:10 57:10 relating rough 105:19 106:3 10:7 14:17 20:16,20 required Revised relationship 79:10 80:16 5:6 round 73:4 97:8,15 104:13,20 research revised/approved **Route** relative 100:13 69:4 107:15,17 respect revision 3:9 Relevance 42:18,21 56:23 66:24 67:3 rule 104:23 80:5 Rich 11:1 remaining responding 12:1 rules 36:3 Rick 2:5 10:5 11:6 55:6 42:18 25:25 26:2 29:20 90:2 remember response 20:22 67:11,11,18,20 32:17 41:20 73:2 79:4 running responses rigging 37:14 40:22 47:15,21 remnant 52:25 53:2 21:5 58:6 85:2 responsible right remotely runs 7:9 107:7 93:1 75:2 8:4 12:16 19:5,20 20:4 24:17 25:20,23 Rwbarryconsultin... remove responsive 10:16 64:10 98:16 67:6 71:3 29:10,12 30:2,23 56:2 removed rest 31:3,23,24 38:10 S 42:15 75:5 40:1 42:5 45:6,18 S removing restructuring 47:9,18,24 48:11,13 4:8 66:8 69:10 49:16 51:1 52:8,9 96:2,17 Saldutti 53:13 54:8 58:25 Renascent resume 3:9 7:24 8:11 71:17 37:10,15 39:3,4,12 4:23 33:8 64:22 67:16,20,22 sales 68:19,23 70:12,21 39:15 retail 34:13 95:8 52:19 72:25 73:14 78:1 repeat Salzano 58:23 99:25 retained 80:8,13,18,19 81:3 46:3 47:21 49:24 82:7,15 83:16,19,22 rephrase 99:20 58:11,16 67:19 81:6 10:12 84:13 85:4,7 86:7 retired



	I		
101:3	58:20	sending	68:18
sat	secured	69:2,3	shows
82:11 83:21	66:9,22	sent	72:25 74:22
save	securities	12:7	shy
24:21 82:21	26:7 47:23 48:16,17	sentence	45:16
saw	48:23 61:2 93:14	66:7 69:6	side
22:21 31:11,20 33:8	security	separate	44:5,5 76:20,21
51:19,20 71:9	48:21	80:17	significant
saying	see	separately	80:12
13:21 19:6 20:1	8:19 14:8 17:14	19:19 79:11 80:7	single
26:18 42:7 46:17	23:12 27:8 32:13	series	13:3,17 41:9 85:16
49:6,14,14,17 50:24	38:1,5,7,9,12 41:1	10:6	sir
69:3 70:20 73:14	42:7,20,24,25 43:2	service	8:3,25 22:13 38:17
74:7 85:7 91:3 92:3	43:10 51:7,11,14	52:23 54:22 59:12	40:5 43:3 46:2 48:9
96:25 100:4,6,23	66:4,10 67:7 68:7	services	51:14 60:9 61:13
says	68:14,19,20,21 69:2	1:21 7:11,13 100:7	63:15 65:21 68:7,14
9:19 24:8,10,11 40:6	69:12,15,17,20,23	set	69:18 70:3 71:14
67:21 69:12 70:4,6	70:3,3,9 71:1,6,10	10:5 44:6 79:18,19	74:21 80:5 83:3,15
70:16 83:22 98:22	76:16 90:25 91:2,5	79:20,23 107:12	85:9 88:18 90:24
scheme	91:7,13,15 92:6	setting	91:24 94:20 97:24
17:4,7 19:4 46:4,12	93:23 96:8 100:15	26:17	98:4 102:8,10 105:4
46:17,18,20,23	100:25 102:13	seven	sit
47:15,18,19,21 48:7	seeing	101:14,20	32:18 98:24
49:7 62:14 78:20	41:4 90:25	share	sitting
82:10 83:15,19 85:2	seen	35:12,14 46:22	29:5 42:4 77:15
90:9,11	11:17 39:11,21 40:16	sheet	situation
schemes	41:2 43:24 44:3	14:25 15:2,24 20:5	33:20
48:12	48:22 52:17 57:9,13	77:2	six
scope	57:20 58:8,13,18	sheets	96:5
47:2 48:1 55:4 99:23	59:2,10,14 66:25	14:7,8	sleeping
script	67:3,8 78:20 81:10	short	99:5
66:8 67:1,3 68:23	84:12 90:19,24	65:17 102:1	slide
69:2 70:18	91:20 92:2,3,7,11	shorten	71:15
scripts	92:13 100:15 103:7	67:5	small
66:3,25 71:1 93:9,15	sees	Shorthand	73:3
searches	8:25	107:4	sold
82:1,2	segregate	short-term	24:8 34:4,7,10
seats	79:11	76:2	solemnly
53:7,16	segregated	show	8:5
second	19:19 79:17 80:7	20:1 23:18 24:15	solid
9:1 38:24 62:6 69:4	sell	37:25 38:25 59:3	72:14
secondhand	94:8	65:24 72:2 87:15	somebody
99:10	selling	showed	32:17 49:6,6 54:14
seconds	78:7 91:10	39:12 40:21 58:14	sorry
61:19 70:18	send	showing	8:11 11:23 22:12
secreting	67:12	14:15 17:25 42:2	24:2 35:6 47:11



51:18 55:12 58:22 70:16 74:21 94:23 99:24 102:18 sound 61:5 sounds 83:22 so-called 73:7 space 34:2,21 special 34:1 specific 25:13 29:11 specifically 25:13 82:4 speculation 40:11 97:22 spend 54:4 82:14 spent 44:9 45:5 spite 63:21 spoke 28:6 spoken 26:25 spot 70:6 spots 69:4 spout 98:21,24 staff 12:1,5,10 56:18,21 57:8,12 standard 52:2 start 8:10 11:13 14:6 56:9 72:18 74:11 started 15:5 72:20 state

2:7 7:15 43:25 90:12 107:4.22 stated 51:7 90:3,14 statements 16:19 17:1,1 30:18 74:1,1,3,4,12 77:8 77:11 **STATES** 1:1 stations 69:4 stay 19:15 stenographically 107:11 **Stipulations** 6:16 stop 63:24 stopped 19:3,11 75:19,22,23 straight-forward 10:8 Street

strike 40:8,13 64:9 strong 74:9 structure 23:24,25 34:13 44:1 stuff 98:21 submitted 85:19 103:14 subpoena 20:22 60:5,9,15,22 subpoenas 71:8 73:22 substance 62:6 substantial 53:17 substantially 30:15 32:15

3:4

success 80:21 successful 78:7 sue 86:15 sued 93:13 suggest 66:19 suggested 66:8 67:18 suggesting 41:17 99:17 suggestions 71:5 Suite 3:4.9 summarize 13:5 summary 4:16,17 13:5,18 14:6 14:8,18 **Superior** 2:4 supplied 36:6 supplies 35:25 support 6:2 38:11 98:22 supposed 79:19 98:18,19,20 100:3 sure 9:2 10:25 12:3 19:7 32:16,19 38:1 41:12 52:21 55:16 65:13 79:2,6 101:19 surprise

29:2,4 30:4,4,5,7,10

task

16:23 33:16

30:10 95:24

surprising

83:12

sustain

74:10

swear 8:1,5 sworn 85:19 107:7 T Т 4:8 take 9:18 17:20 22:3 45:13 53:10 65:11 69:13 70:20 72:5 95:6 100:18 101:14 taken 2:4 7:9 9:21,22 20:6 65:17 97:19 102:1 107:10 takes 87:8 talk 11:3,4,5 13:18 32:14 66:3 101:16 talked 13:13,14 23:10 24:25 27:20 28:7 talking 13:10 17:20 20:19 22:9 27:13 41:14 48:8,25 49:20 50:9 51:1 52:8 61:19 66:21 67:23 71:23 72:9 88:23 95:25 96:16 100:19 Tanvi 5:5 39:12,16,19 97:8 99:5 104:13.20 Tanvi's 91:4 target 46:16 89:11 targeting 82:5 86:25 targets 83:2



team	106:4,10	53:5,6,25 54:20	98:2 99:18,20
11:22,24,25 25:3	Thanks	62:1 65:16 66:14,16	100:10 101:5 103:8
81:19,21 84:7,7	65:14 106:5	67:6 70:7 73:5 76:8	103:25
95:25 96:2,2,4	thing	76:15 77:5,5 78:20	total
102:11	11:2 51:4 85:16	80:12 81:7 83:15	51:19 103:18
teams	things	91:10 95:8 96:19	totals
27:5 96:3	10:21 17:2 21:9 31:2	101:24 102:4 103:5	38:14 42:25
telephone	49:21 54:12 57:15	104:6 105:12,13	tracing
27:3	57:24 63:15 77:25	107:11	83:1
tell	81:5 82:3 83:1	timeline	track
10:11 31:5 32:16,19	85:15 86:23 91:20	72:20	92:1
39:3 41:25 61:24	96:20	times	tracked
71:21 85:19 94:2	think	9:25 23:4 28:6 30:12	41:10
telling	20:24 24:10,11 25:23	30:19,20,25 32:6,15	transactional
32:9 82:9 84:13	31:21,25 32:10 34:9	37:13,22,23 38:8,19	16:20
tells	47:5 57:17 60:11	38:25 39:1 40:21,25	transactions
35:17	61:10 65:5 76:1	40:25 42:2 43:2,11	21:18 75:16
ten	78:24 82:14 87:8	43:16,19,20 96:16	transcript
30:11,19,19,25 32:6	91:18 94:18 95:22	timing	2:1 105:17 107:10
32:8,15 40:25,25	100:9 101:8,9	103:2,11,16 106:6	transfer
41:1 43:19 56:16	103:21	title	48:9
57:2,3	thinks	17:19 72:7 92:22	transfers
tens	98:25	today	4:19 46:11 48:4,9
56:16	third	7:7 9:14 14:1 42:5	73:4
term	23:13 72:7	77:15 89:18 104:21	transparent
51:21,23	thought	today's	23:20 37:20 51:3
terms	13:9 18:8 31:10 33:8	105:15	61:11
14:6 49:4	62:11,12 67:11	toilet	treat
test	thousand	35:19,20,23 36:10	79:17
77:2	57:6 88:2	told	treaties
testified	thousands	13:1 19:25 20:8	43:24
43:11 104:13,19	14:1,2 56:5,9,14,16	23:11 38:14 76:10	treatises
testify	81:2	80:3 83:10 88:15	34:16
89:14 107:7	three	97:16 105:1	trial
testifying	10:1 96:1	top	43:17,19
43:19,20	throwing	24:12 37:24 54:13	tried
testimony	78:11	76:23 90:16	69:19
4:4 8:6 18:21 31:15	time	Torres	Trivago
56:5 84:21 107:10	7:8 9:4,21 10:2,2	3:11,12,12,12 4:17	53:15
testing	11:3 13:1 15:23	4:19 7:24 9:16,17	true
14:25 15:2	16:1 19:2,10 22:5	22:15,22 23:3,5,14	41:12 73:21 78:10,16
tests	23:19 24:6,8 26:20	30:12,14 31:9 39:18	85:14 104:21
20:5	27:8 31:10,13,17,19	41:9 44:4 45:18	trust
thank	31:20 34:5,8,10,17	54:7,9 58:20 59:19	1:6,7 7:5,22 9:15
56:3 65:21 67:21	39:4,8,13 43:10	59:25 66:6 67:1,10	94:10,10
102:7 105:4,6,10	52:15,20,25 53:2,5	71:2,6 74:16 97:18	trustee
		l '	I



10:20 94:21 1:7 24:19,23 26:9 various 29:22.24 56:8 75:18 unable 83:2 volunteered 93:13 94:9 99:14 17:16 20:2 75:24 vendor 33:11 trustee's 76:1 54:14 W 25:6,6,10 27:13 84:7 underlying vendors wait 86:14 99:1 23:12,15 35:12 44:12 13:24 41:11 53:9,20 53:25 54:9,15,19 truth understand Waldie 8:6,7,7 84:13 99:16 10:10,12,13,17,25 81:14 91:9 1:14 2:2 4:4,15,23 107:7,8,8 11:6 13:21 21:11 verbal 7:4 8:20 9:11 11:13 40:10 60:4 77:4 73:7,17 truthfully 11:17 25:25 36:7 88:6 90:1 11:10 verbatim 38:2 62:21 63:6 try understanding 107:10 65:24 66:2 71:21 10:5,7 11:4,5 22:3 14:5 16:9 34:21 verifiable 79:9 107:6 38:17 57:21 59:23 understood 62:3 want 10:15 14:13 17:5 60:5,22 71:10,17 verify 11:15 12:24 21:6,8 78:12,22 83:6 86:14 55:20 80:15 62:9 21:11 24:15 36:15 trying unit version 37:25 40:10,11,11 41:20,20 71:7 77:4 33:15 24:21 40:12,12 43:4,8 UNITED 77:13 80:4,5 82:13 versus 49:5 51:2 53:6,8 82:21 90:1 94:8 1:1 7:5 101:1 64:14 67:7 69:21 tune unpaid vet 70:20 78:22 91:25 73:19 18:1,2,14 103:7 33:6 102:12 106:6,7 turn untangle vetting wanted 14:1,9 34:20 77:13,13 77:13 13:15 14:7 80:1 turned unwinding victims 81:13 101:3 13:8 16:17 49:8 wanting twelve use video 53:7 41:1 43:19 17:17 27:4 79:22 9:20 wants Videoconference Twenty-four 98:21 97:18 98:24 Usually 43:22 1:13 2:2 wasn't Twenty-three 35:23 55:13 videographer 16:10 17:4 19:19,21 43:22 3:14 7:2,10,23 8:24 V 31:5 51:10,13 60:8 twice 9:3,7 65:15,18,22 V 63:22 67:4 71:3 21:9 26:15 101:23 102:2 105:7 1:8 80:23,23 84:13 105:11 two Vagnoni 88:21 92:4,8,10,12 12:3 49:4 61:9,20 Videotape 3:8 8:13 101:4 98:8 100:7,23 69:3 7:3 102:25 vaguely TY Videotaped 32:9 water 67:21 68:8 1:13 2:1 17:16 73:6 validated view typically 17:2 20:10 way 24:21 53:19 50:11 value 10:8,12 29:1 78:21 violations U 19:24 37:1 59:19,21 88:10 93:19 98:17 48:16 74:4 78:16 94:13 uh-uh ways Vitali 63:14 10:20 values 3:14 7:11 website um-hum 77:4 voice



100:12	31:17 40:16 42:12	X	1
weekend	48:2 55:5,21 60:11	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	1
106:3	84:2,16,23 88:5	4:2,8 36:10	4:21 7:3 13:23 65:25
Weekly	97:11 99:2,24		68:22 101:24
4:24,25	101:22 104:16,25	Y	1.125
weeks	105:6 106:12	yeah	102:19
15:6 26:22	word	8:24 13:22 17:9	1/16
welcome	82:2	21:13 24:12 27:5,11	73:10
65:22	wording	31:25 33:24 35:4,17	10
went	66:8	37:7 41:13,16 42:24	25:12,19 67:9 78:10
17:19 32:10,12,12	words	44:16,18 49:23	10:02
45:7 63:23 64:3	10:9 35:13 69:7,24	50:17,18,25 51:5	2:8 7:8
65:2 80:19 81:19	work	52:1,2 54:15,16	10:03
weren't	31:7 33:4 45:25	55:24 56:13 57:3,19	9:4
47:12 59:11 63:8	62:16 63:2 81:22	57:24 60:1 61:8	
64:24 80:7	86:17 89:2,4,12	62:22 67:8 68:3,9	10:04
West	100:11,12	68:10 75:2,23 77:22	9:8
3:4	worked	79:13,15 82:8 91:12	100
we'll	33:11,17 48:17	92:25 93:12 94:17	16:18 32:19 91:6
25:21 55:18 82:14	working	94:25 104:10	100:17
we're	26:3 96:14		100,000
9:3,7,20 16:17,25	works	year	73:4 101:1
17:20 20:9 48:25	52:21 78:21 88:9,10	4:16,18 15:8 16:21	102
54:11 63:24 65:15		16:22 31:22 32:4	4:16
	worried 65:5	77:6,6 100:17,21	11
65:24 66:21 69:14		101:1	5:6 38:16
77:7,13 82:13,13,13	worth	years	11th
82:23,23,25 86:24	81:2 103:5	16:11,13 35:4 43:21	31:21
86:24 105:11	wouldn't	43:22 77:10	11:08
we've	29:4 30:5,7,10 42:4	Yep	65:16
17:11 41:3,14 55:24	57:14 69:14 73:13	8:18 83:17	11:20
55:24,24 59:14	write	yesterday	65:19
81:25	88:13 93:9,14	12:23 97:14	110
wife	writing	Yup	74:24,24
93:21	44:1 70:19 88:15	80:9 83:20 92:18	1120
willful	91:3,5,7	7	3:9
81:15	written	Z	12
William	44:3 67:1	zero	69:14,22
1:14 2:2 3:9 4:4,23	wrong	72:16,17,18,22 73:10	12:04
7:4,23 8:11 107:6	14:11 60:18 88:19,25	74:23,25 75:1,2	101:25
willing	wrongful	ZOOM	12:14
89:25	48:24	1:13	102:4
wisely	wrote	0	12:18
82:14	67:11 88:1		105:12 106:15
witness	www.MagnaLS.com	08054	12:19
		1 4 1 1 1 1	1
6:5 8:2,8 12:13 18:14	1:22	3:10	105:13



			1 490 20
120	2016	6:7 20:13 70:1,3	94:17 95:4
95:4	4:21 15:8 17:6,8,22	103:4	55
		3.5	76:15
121	18:1,20 20:3 24:6		/0:13
102:17	72:8,13,18,23	102:22	6
13	2017	30	$\frac{3}{6}$
6:13 90:18	15:12 72:2	4:22 29:3 61:19 69:6	
130	2018	70:6 75:11 100:3	102:8,9
94:19	15:16 49:15 74:13	107:23	60
15	2019	30X100227400	69:4 70:18
22:17 38:1,15,24	15:19,25 74:14 75:21	107:21	62
50:12,22 100:3,4	76:5	31	6:7
16	2020	30:20	63
72:20 75:14	16:4,5 24:7 63:7	32	6:7
160	2021	6:13	65
94:15,16 95:7	16:7 24:5 51:6,11,14	34	4:11
17	2022	45:4	660
6:7 76:22 77:17	4:22 102:12,16,20	36	81:1 90:15
17th	104:11	40:2 45:4	68
17:15 32:1	2024	36-and-a-half	4:12
18	1:15 7:7 107:23	45:7	
6:7 46:15 50:12	2025	360	7
18th	107:22	94:11 95:9	70
32:1	21	38	4:13,14
1872	23:8	5:5 17:21 75:11	700
76:14	22		3:4
19	6:8,13	4	71
75:25 76:4 107:22	23	4	4:21
75.25 70.4 107.22	26:18	70:23	73
2	23-1335	420	3:9
$\overline{2}$	1:2	3:9	732
6:8 20:12 102:4	24	43	55:21
105:13,14		44:17	
2,385,599	35:4 78:11	43215	8
103:3	25	3:4	8
2.3	50:13,22 66:2,22	3.4	95:13,14 104:4,8
103:22	100:3	5	84
2.385	250	5	44:10 45:10
	3:4	20:15,20 22:17 73:19	85,000
102:24	28th	50	73:3
20	33:5	39:19,25 45:5,14,16	86
6:13 50:12,22 96:14	29	91:4	6:7
200	1:15	50/50	866-624-6221
100:17	29th		1:21
2004	7:7	69:5	89
20:22		503-5540	6:8,8
2013	3	55:21	0.0,0
33:5	3	520	
	I	I	I



		Page	
9	 		
)			
4.5 71.15			
0 5:3 4 54:3			
5:3 4			
54:3			

